Study of the precipitation evolution in Catalonia using a mesoscale model (1971–2000)
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Abstract. In this work the MM5 mesoscale model is used in order to analyse the temporal evolution of the precipitation for the period 1971–2000 in Catalonia (NE Iberian Peninsula). Three one-way nested domains with 135, 45 and 15 km horizontal grid resolution and 23 vertical levels have been used. The simulation is performed nesting MM5 into the ERA40 reanalyses. Dynamical nudging is applied to the first domain. However, nudging is not applied in the second and third domains. In order to assess the performance of the developed methodology (main spatio-temporal precipitation characteristics), the results obtained in each simulation are compared with those obtained from ERA40 and observational data.

The results show a climatologically reliable distribution of the simulated precipitation spatial patterns for annual, semi-annual, spring and summer precipitation compared to those obtained from 1100 rain gauges covering the whole study area. For winter and autumn the goodness of the results is much lower. Furthermore, the results for 15-km outputs are better than the 45-km ones. The simulations also reproduce well the evolution of annual anomalies for Catalonia and the probability density function (PDF) of monthly mean precipitation. They also improve the precipitation outputs from ERA40, which present an important negative trend and a drier PDF for the period 1971–2000. On the other hand, extreme values are not well reproduced by the simulation. Despite this fact, hydric extremes derived from extreme values (i.e. extreme rainy days and flood records) are well captured by the model.

1 Introduction

Global Circulation Models (GCM) do not have enough resolution (1.8°–3.5°; Fowler et al., 2007) to reproduce the main climate characteristics at regional scale (0.15°–0.25°; Salathé Jr et al., 2007). Therefore, they are not appropriate to study future regional or smaller scale impacts due to global warming during this century. In such way the use of downscaling techniques is advisable to cope with this problem (IPCC, 2007). There is a large number of downscaling techniques, which can be basically divided into two groups: statistical and dynamical. Statistical techniques use empirical relationships that relate large-scale climate variables (or predictors) to regional and local variables (or predictands). On the other hand, dynamical techniques use limited-area meteorological models (mesoscale models) to obtain the same variables. This is the one developed in the present work.

Unlike temperature, precipitation modelling by means of GCM presents great inaccuracies. They are more important in regions such as the Mediterranean zone, influenced by polar and tropical air masses. Many studies on precipitation modelling are just focused on winter and summer, while the other seasons are discarded from extensive and deeper analyses. However, spring and autumn are of extreme importance for the knowledge of hydric extremes and the management of water resources in the westerly leeward Mediterranean regions. This is the case of the studied area, Catalonia (north-east of Spain, see Fig. 1f for its location), where these seasons are the rainiest ones. Moreover, difficulties increase when floods and droughts are analysed because other factors under GCM resolution are involved: orography, mesoscale processes and anthropic factors as well. The studied area has a complex orography and river system. Mesoscale processes,
such as orographic rainfalls or convective phenomena, have an important role in its climatology. Therefore, it is more evident the drawback of GCMs when its climate at regional scale is analysed.

In the present contribution, the MM5 mesoscale model has been used in order to develop a regionalised simulation of the present climate (1971–2000). The goal is to assess if the MM5 model is able to reproduce the main spatio-temporal characteristics of the climate in Catalonia (especially precipitation) and with the aim of developing future climate regionalised scenarios for Catalonia using the MM5 model nested into ECHAM5 GCM.

2 Data

Two kind of datasets have been used in the present study:

i) The European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecast (ECMWF) 40-year reanalyses, called ERA40, with a 2.5° spatial resolution (Uppala et al., 2005). These
4 Results

The ability of the simulation to reproduce the main spatio-temporal precipitation characteristics (spatial patterns, interannual variability, trends and PDFs) are verified by means of a comparison between simulated and observational values.

First of all, the mean annual precipitation fields for each integration domain, ERA40 reanalyses and observational data are shown in Fig. 1. The developed simulation produces a spatial pattern similar to observational one. The maximum values in the north and minima in the south-west and west areas are detected. However, the highest resolution integration is the only one which reproduces the maximum located in the north-east of Catalonia. The presence of this maximum is due to mesoscale factors, so that its detection by this simulation reinforces the ability of the downscaling method used. It is also important to comment that in general there is a high concordance between absolute observed and simulated values, but a slight general overestimation is observed with simulated values.

Referring to seasonal scale, a similar behaviour is presented (not shown). However, the concordance between model and observations is less robust for winter and autumn. Moreover, there is a general overestimation in the first half of the year and an underestimation in the other half. These two errors are annually compensated leading to a mean annual field very close to the observational one, as it can be seen in Fig. 1.

As regards temporal variability, Fig. 2 shows the evolution of mean annual precipitation anomalies obtained for the highest resolution domain with MM5, ERA40 and observations. This simulation presents a range of variability similar to the observational data. It is also important to stand out that in both simulation and observational data present a wet period at the beginning of the series and a dry period at the end. On the other hand, while an important decrease in ERA40 precipitation is detected, the observational precipitation does not have any statistically significant trend and the MM5 precipitation only presents a slight decrease (statistically significant) during the period 1971–2000. Significance of trends has been tested by a Monte Carlo technique (Lievezy and Chen, 1983; Kunkel et al., 1999; Liebmann et al., 2004). In addition, the simulated values present a linear Pearson’s correlation of 0.60 respects to observational data and ERA40 values present a lower correlation (0.54). Both correlations are statistically significant at a confidence level of 99%. All of these facts give more evidences about the utility of the dynamical downscaling method used in this contribution.

Concerning to precipitation distribution, Fig. 3 shows the probability density functions (PDFs) of the mean monthly precipitation of ERA40, MM5 and observational data. The two last distributions are quite similar, but both PDFs are slightly different due to the overestimation in the mean annual simulated precipitation explained previously (Fig. 1). Therefore, a probability transfer towards the distribution tails
is produced. This fact implies an increase in the probability of high precipitation values (PDF right tail) and a decrease in the frequency of simulated PDF central values (a lower peak) with respect to observed PDF. As it was illustrated in Fig. 2, an important difference exists between simulated and ERA40 data. This is ERA40 produces much lower monthly precipitation values than the MM5 simulation and observations. Consequently, the central values of ERA40 PDF present probability values higher than those presented in simulated and observational PDFs. This fact also corroborates the value of the downscaling technique used in this study.

Finally, regarding extreme precipitation (basically flood events), Fig. 4 has been built in order to compare the evolution of catastrophic floods (the most severe ones) in Catalonia (Barrera-Escoda, 2008) with a simulated variable derived from extreme precipitation. The absolute extreme precipitation values are not well captured by the simulation (not shown), as it could previously be known. The number of days with daily precipitation above 50 mm has been chosen as the variable to make the comparison with floods. This is a daily precipitation threshold related to floods in the studied area, since no flood was recorded in days with less than 50 mm in the last 150 years in Barcelona city (Barrera et al., 2006). Figure 4 shows a good coincidence between observed (flood evolution) and simulated (extreme precipitation events) values. The linear correlation between simulated values and observational data is 0.64. There also exists a high frequency period of catastrophic floods at the beginning of the series which corresponds to a high frequency of extreme precipitation events in the simulation. On the other side, there is a low frequency period of flooding at the end of the series in coincidence with a low frequency period of extreme precipitation events in the simulation. This result confirms the ability of the developed method and the adequacy of the chosen variable to carry out the comparative study.
5 Conclusions

The MM5 simulations nested into ERA40 reanalyses for the period 1971–2000 in Catalonia are able to reproduce the main spatio-temporal patterns of precipitation (spatial patterns, interannual variability, trends and PDFs) comparing with observations. However, they are not able to correctly reproduce the seasonal cycle. They present overestimated values from December to May and an underestimation from June to November. All in all, these differences are compensated at annual scale leading to absolute annual values similar to observational ones. The simulations also improve the precipitation outputs from ERA40 reanalyses, which present a significant decreasing annual precipitation trend and a too dry PDF for monthly mean precipitation during the period 1971–2000. These facts corroborate the ability of the developed technique.

To sum up, the obtained results allow to state the dynamical downscaling method, which has been presented here, is a good tool for climate regionalisation and it will be very useful to generate future regionalised scenarios from IPCC-AR4 GCM simulations. For example, one of the main results obtained by the simulations is the detection of the precipitation maximum located in the north-east of Catalonia. It is important to detect this maximum for future studies of water management in Barcelona metropolitan area. What is more, some other features must be improved to obtain better results, such as the seasonal cycle produced by the developed method.
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