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Abstract. In Israel occur a giant number of archaeological objects of various age, origin and size. Different kinds of noise complicate geophysical methods employment at archaeological sites. Geodynamical active, multi-layered, and geologically variable surrounding media in many cases damages ancient objects and disturbs their physical properties. This calls to application of different geophysical methods armed by the modern interpretation technology. The main attention is focused on the geophysical methods most frequently applying in Israeli archaeological sites: GPR and high-precise magnetic survey. Other methods (paleomagnetic, resistivity, near-surface seismics, piezoelectric, etc.) are briefly described and reviewed. The number of employed geophysical methodologies is constantly increasing, and now Israeli territory may be considered as a peculiar polygon for various geophysical methods testing. Several examples illustrate effective application of geophysical methods over some typical archaeological remains. The geophysical investigations at archaeological sites in Israel could be tentatively divided on three stages: (1) past (1990), (2) present (1990–2009), and (3) future (2010). The past stage with several archaeoseismic reviews and very limited application of geophysical methods was replaced by the present stage with the violent employment of numerous geophysical techniques. It is supposed that the future stage will be characterized by extensive development of multidiscipline physical-archaeological databases, employment of all possible indicators for 4-D monitoring and ancient sites reconstruction, as well as application of combined geophysical multilevel surveys using remote operated vehicles at low altitudes.

1 Introduction

The territory of Israel, in spite of its comparatively small dimensions (about of 22 000 km$^2$), contains extremely large number of archaeological remains due to its rich ancient and Biblical history (map with location of several archaeological sites displayed in this article, is presented in Fig. 1). Many authors (e.g., Kenyon, 1979; Kempinski and Reich, 1992; Meyers, 1996) note that the density location of archaeological sites on Israeli territory is the highest in the world. Geophysical methods are applied for the revealing and localization of archaeological remains as rapid, effective and non-invasive tools for the study of a broad range of various targets in Israel (e.g., Ginzburg and Levanon, 1977; Dolphin, 1981; Witten et al., 1994; Itkis and Eppelbaum, 1998; Ben-Dor et al., 1999; Sternberg et al., 1999; Ezersky et al., 2000; Eppelbaum et al., 2001b, 2003, 2006a, b, 2008, 2010b; Eppelbaum and Itkis, 2003; Itkis et al., 2003; Weinstein-Evron et al., 2003; Boyce et al., 2004; Jol et al., 2008).

Application of geophysical methods has a great potential due to their different physical principles and varied scales of survey, various locations of measuring sensors and different possible combinations of methods (processing and interpretation of geophysical data may also differ). Informational approach to archaeogeophysical data analysis permits to extract a maximum of useful information. Geophysical surveys provide a ground plan of cultural remains before excavations or may be even used instead of excavations. Road and plant construction, selection of areas for various engineering and agricultural aims are usually accompanied by detailed geophysical investigations. Rapid and reliable interpretation of geophysical data should provide protection of archaeological remains from unpremeditated destruction.

Among the variety of ancient objects in Israel, the most typical sites of different ages and origins (taking into account also a frequency of geophysical methods application), are presented in this paper.
At the same time, different kinds of noise complicate geophysical survey in Israel, and methods of the noise elimination (reducing) are of a great importance. The most typical noise factors in Israel are: damaging of ancient targets caused by the significant geodynamic activity, strong geological variability of the medium, and oblique magnetization (polarization).

2 Archaeogeophysical target recognition: application of some basis components of informational theory

All types of geophysical investigations are applied in the definite succession in time and space. In archaeogeophysics different physical means are employed, but even single physical tool application (e.g., GPR, magnetics, self-potential method) may have different conditions (observation step, distance between profiles, accuracy, type of equipment, etc.). The final aim of archaeogeophysics employment is the best recognition (the most precise identification of targets) of studied archaeological site by some given limitations (Fig. 2).

The problem of geophysical tool(s) rational utilization could be solved using employment of the following criterions (on the basis of Eppelbaum et al., 2003):

1. necessary expenditures for realization of the geophysical tool (cost criterion $C$),
2. necessary time for realization of the geophysical tool (time criterion $T$),
3. informativeness of the geophysical tool (informational criterion $\Pi$).

Criterions $C$ and $T$ can be easy determined by a direct calculation, but estimation of criterion $\Pi$ is a complex investigation problem.

In archaeology, often location of an ancient target relatively to surrounding medium might provide more significant information than the artifact alone (Bentley and Schneider, 2000). Therefore, all available archaeological/geological information can be represented in the classic three-level variant (Eppelbaum et al., 2003): (a) syntactical – number of information; (b) semantic – substance of information; (c) pragmatic – value of information.

A principal logical-heuristic model of the geophysical/archaeological information can be described in the following form:

$$\Pi = Q \cup R \cup V,$$

where $Q$ is the quantitative estimation of information, $R$ is the estimation of informational reliability corresponding to the semantic criterion, $V$ is the estimation of informational value by degree of aim achievement according to the pragmatic criterion, $\cup$ is the symbol of unification.

This algorithm is based on the fundamental terms of information theory and combined with the structural (hierarchical) approach. This approach allows to construct each geophysical indicator as a structure reflecting a set of typical situations. After this the depth of searching recognition is estimated and calculated using informational approach. Realization of the proposed strategy provides effective analysis of near-surface/environmental studies and development of reliable physical-archaeological models (PAMs).
conditions many random factors disturb the results obtained by a set of archaeological-geophysical means. One of the essential problems consists of impossibility to obtaining satisfactory formalized description of factors influencing the results of local determinations. The similar situations are known in the theory of “decision making” where a full mathematical formalization of the investigated problem is complicated. Our experience (Eppelbaum and Eppelbaum, 1995; Eppelbaum et al., 2001b, 2003) allows us to suggesting that application of expert methods in many situations (set of logical and math-statistical procedures) will be most effective one. Performed analysis permits to conclude that increment of reliability of archaeogeophysical and related tools especially depends on number of the tools involving to prospecting set and their reliabilities, and on method of the tools integration.

3 Noise complicating geophysical investigations in archaeological sites in Israel

Geophysical observations at archaeological sites in Israel are complicated by numerous factors (Eppelbaum and Khesin, 2001; Eppelbaum et al., 2001b, 2006b) (Fig. 3). These disturbances are briefly considered below.

3.1 Artificial noise

The Industrial component comprises power-lines, cables, buildings, different underground and transport communications and strongly affects practically all physical fields applied in archaeogeophysics (to a lesser degree – piezoelectric and self-potential (SP) methods). The Instrumental component is associated with the technical properties of geophysical instruments (e.g., “shift zero” of gravimeters and accomplishing electrode’s noise in SP) and their spatial location. Human mistakes, unfortunately, will accompany geophysical observations some more time. Difficulties of electrode grounding are of some significance in geophysical prospecting with the electrode system of measurements, such as resistivity, vertical electric sounding (VES) and SP methods (geophone grounding – for seismic and piezoelectric methods). The last component of artificial noise is the absence of information about previous archaeological excavations at the site under study that does not allow utilization of these data for planning geophysical investigations and their analysis.

3.2 Natural disturbances

The first component of nonstationary noise comprises temporary variations in geophysical fields, such as tidal variations in the gravity field, ionosphere disturbances influencing magnetic and electromagnetic Very Low Frequency (VLF) fields and climatic changes affecting the SP field and near-surface temperature observations. A second component of nonstationary noise reflects meteorological conditions (rain, lightning, snow, hurricanes, etc.) obviously disturbing observations in all geophysical methods. Soil-vegetation factors are associated with some soil types (e.g., water-logged ground or loose ground in deserts) and dense vegetation complicates accessibility of geophysical equipment. Uneven terrain relief causes technical limitations for equipment transportation and geophysical data measurements. Physically this disturbance is generally two-fold for potential and quasi-potential fields: first, there is the effect of the form and physical properties of the topographic bodies forming the relief and, secondly, there is the effect of variations in the distance from the measurement point to the hidden target (Khesin et al., 1996). Uneven relief also strongly distorts ground penetrating radar (GPR), VES and seismic observations.

3.3 Geological-geophysical and archaeological factors

There are the most important physical-archaeological disturbances. First of all, is necessary to note that Physical limitation of method application reflects some physical properties of the geoarchaeological section (for example, watering of the section does not allow to use effectively electric, electromagnetic and temperature methods, etc.). The Ancient earthquake damages are widely identified in Israel (especially in the regions closely disposed to the Dead Sea Transform.
The Variety of anomalous sources is composed of two factors: variable surrounding medium and variety of archaeological targets. Both these factors are very crucial and strongly complicate interpretation of all geophysical fields.

### 3.4 Oblique polarization

Oblique magnetization (polarization) complicates such geophysical fields as magnetic, VLF, SP, thermal, resistivity and piezoelectric. Oblique polarization disturbs these geophysical fields in the following manner: the major extremum is shifted from the projection of the upper edge of the object on the plan, and an additional extremum may appear (Khesin et al., 1996). It should be noted that oblique magnetization is the characteristic peculiarity for Israel due to its geographical location.

### 3.5 On the correlation between the useful signal and noise

In many cases it is assumed that the noise is normally distributed and not correlated to the geophysical signal. Analysis of geophysical data indicates that these assumptions often do not obeyed. For example, a principal scheme of wideband noise Kalman filtering in geophysics is considered in (Bashirov et al., 1992).

As it was noted in Eppelbaum (1989), sometimes a correlation between the useful signal and dispersion of noise is observed. In this case a cross-correlation function $B_{sn}$ between the signal and noise may be presented as

$$B_{sn}(m) = E[(S_m - E(S_m))(n_m - E(n_m))], \quad (2a)$$

where $E$ is the statistical expectation, $S_m$ and $n_m$ are the expected signal and noise, respectively.

Equation (2a) may be rewritten as

$$B_{sn}(m) = E[S_m(n_m - E(n_m))]. \quad (2b)$$
In consideration of the properties of the dispersion as a random quantity (e.g., Triola, 2007), Eq. (2b) is transformed as

\[ B_{sn}(m) = E \left[ S_m \left( n_m - E(n_m) \pm \sqrt{D_n^2} \right) \right], \quad (3) \]

where \( D_n \) is the dispersion.

Taking into account that

\[ E \left[ S_m \left( n_m - E(n_m) - \sqrt{D_n^2} \right) \right] = 0, \]

Eq. (2b) may be represented in the following form

\[ B_{sn}(m) = E \left( S_m \sqrt{D_n^2} \right). \quad (4) \]

Thus, cross-correlation function between the useful signal and noise dispersion may be described by the use of Eq. (4). Such relationship between the signal and noise permits to utilize these peculiarities in the corresponding PAM.

4 Archaeoseismic investigations

Taking into account that Israel is situated within the geodynamically active zone, seismo-archaeological investigations occupy a significant place in the total geophysical-archaeological mosaic in Israel. Apparently, seismo-archaeological investigations were first geophysical investigations in the Holy Land in the past (Willis, 1928). Shalem (1949) has lighted earthquakes in Jerusalem from the ancient period to the middle of XX century. Karce et al. (1977) and Karcz and Kafri (1978) noted that the most part of the ancient damaged constructions lie within or near to the Dead Sea Transform Zone and developed some classification of the ancient earthquakes. Ben-Menahem (1979) has created an earthquake catalogue for the Middle East (92 BC–1980 AD). Seismo-archaeological examination oriented to detecting and catalogue of ancient earthquakes was successfully carried out at several Israeli archaeological sites (for instance, Marco et al., 1996; Ellenblum et al., 1998; Marco et al., 2003). Detailed studying of damage features observed in the ancient ruins of the Avdat archeological site (Negev Desert, Israel) permitted to identify the seismic origin of the destruction and to determine roughly the direction of seismic wave propagation (Korjenkov and Mazor, 1999). Nur and Ron (1997) investigated in detail the Armageddon’s (Megiddo) earthquakes in the Northern Israel. Kamai and Hatzor (2007) proposed to apply a discontinuous deformation analysis to estimate historic ground motions. A fragment of comprehensive collage presented in Marco (2008) illustrates various damages of ancient constructions caused by geodynamic events in Northern Israel (Fig. 4). An integrated analysis of these damages permits not only to develop seismological pattern for the different periods of past, but also helps to create reliable PAMs of different ancient targets.

5 Ground penetrating radar

High-resolution ground penetrating radar (GPR) surveys are widely applied in Israel for solving different archaeological problems. Apparently, GPR was firstly applied in Israel for the examination of some ancient constructions in the Old City of Jerusalem (Dolphin, 1981). Detailed GPR investigations at the site of Sepphoris (Galilee, Northern Israel) were used for the localization of many subsurface ancient features (Batey, 1987). GPR subsurface visualization extended the horizons of the well-known Qumran site (Dead Sea area, Israel) (Jol et al., 2003; Treague et al., 2005). GPR survey (integrated with remote sensing examination) has been effectively applied for mapping of ancient drainage system in the northern Negev (Southern Israel) (Blumberg et al., 2004).

An application of GPR survey at the Cave of the Letters, located in the tectonically active Dead Sea Transform Zone (Fig. 1) is of high interest. This archaeological site is a limestone cave whose Roman deposits have yielded a priceless collection of archaeological artifacts – pottery, coins and bronze objects, as well as 70 documents of this epoch including “Bar-Kokhba’s letters” (Reeder et al., 2004). The cave served as a refuge for Jewish commandants and their families, towards the end of the Second Jewish Revolt against the Romans (~135 BC). The cave floor is covered with roof fall that obscures the underlying archaeological deposits. A GPR survey was used in the interpretation and reconstruction of living surfaces below the roof fall. As part of the GPR analysis, a three-dimensional data set was collected from a 5.5 m × 2.5 m grid in Hall “B” of the cave (Fig. 5). 3-D data sets of such PAMs greatly aid in interpreting the framework of the subsurface materials and provide a more detailed view of the geometry of individual units (Reeder et al., 2004).

GPR imaging was very successfully applied for identification of archaeological features in the Cactus House and several adjacent sites in Nazareth (Northern Israel) (Jol et al., 2004, 2008). Results of GPR survey archaeological features occurring beneath the present floor of the Cactus House (Fig. 1) are presented in Fig. 6 (after Jol et al., 2008). 3-D cubes were assembled from a series of identical length 2-D GPR profiles running parallel to each other along an x-y grid system within the tea-room and oven room. The cubes provide further evidence of the above interpretations. The reflections below the present floor in the Cactus House can indicate that the upper bath house was built upon an earlier bath house that more closely aligns with the water system located and excavated at the adjacent Mary’s Well site.

An example of non-conventional GPR data analysis by the use of multifocusing seismic processing (MSFP) was displayed in Berkovich et al. (2000). A MSFP is based on the homeomorphic imaging theory and consists of stacking seismic data with arbitrary source-receiver distribution according to a new local moveout correction. Three-parameter travel time representation permits to obtain a precise approximation for the full multi-coverage travel time surfaces.
Fig. 4. (A) An offset wall of the Crusader fortress of Vadum Iacob. Dashed line shows original geometry. The 2.1-m-offset is the sum of 1.6 m and 0.5 m displacement caused by the earthquakes of 20 May 1202 and 30 October 1759, respectively. (B) An offset wall of the Ottoman Mosque that is built on top of the Crusader fortress of Vadum Iacob. The associated earthquake occurred on October 30, 1759. (C) A water trough in Um el Kanatir left-laterally offset about 1 m on the margin of a landslide triggered by the earthquake of 18 January 749. (D) A keystone slid down in an arch enclosed symmetrically by a wall (photographed in Mampsis). (E) Blocks on the sides of arches on the left slid down in the earthquake of 1759 in Kal’at Nimrod. Throughout the site, arches in walls that trend E–W are deformed whereas similar arches in N–S trending walls have remained intact. (F) Horizontal shift of large ashlars in the Hellenistic temple of Kadesh (site occurred in the 749 earthquake). All these sites occur in Northern Israel (after Marko, 2008).
Interestingly, that MF technology has multi-dimension orientation and may be successfully applied in other physical domains (Berkovitch and Eppelbaum, 2009). The proposed approach was tested in a well-studied geological section of the quarry “Nesher” in Central Israel (Fig. 1) near town of Ramla. In the nearest vicinity of this quarry (Fig. 7a) a lot of prehistoric targets was found. Length of the selected profile was 75 m, step between the observation points – 0.25 m, and distance between the source and receiver – 1.5 m. Centre frequency was 100 MHz, time window – 0.83 ns, and number of samples – 1024. Initial GPR section obtained by conventional method is shown in Fig. 7b and c illustrates application of the MF technology. It easy to see that upper part of the real geological section is more clearly presented in Fig. 7c than in Fig. 7b.

6 Application of potential geophysical fields

6.1 Magnetic data analysis

6.1.1 Magnetic data transformation

The magnetic method is one of the most widely used geophysical methods for recognition of buried archaeological targets. Sometimes, for removing the noise of different origin, distributed as quasi-white noise, it is useful to transform observed geophysical fields to some informational features. Eppelbaum (2001) and Eppelbaum et al. (2003a) proposed to use the following expression:

\[ J_i = U_i \left( \sum_{i} U_i \right)^{-1} \log \left[ U_i \left( \sum_{i} U_i \right)^{-1} \right] K, \]

where \( U_i \) is the geophysical observation at \( i \)th point \((U_i > 0)\) at the area under study, \( n \) is the total number of observations, and \( K \) is the some coefficient.

Let us consider converting of magnetic survey data, observed at the site of Munhata, to an informational parameter \( J_i \). The site of Munhata is located on a terrace at the outlet of Nahal Tabor to the Jordan Valley, some 11 km south of Lake Kinneret, Northern Israel (Fig. 1), 215 m below sea level. The depth of archaeological remains at the Munhata site is between 2 and 3 m. The magnetic survey at this site was expected to be successful taking into account the considerable contrast between features of interest (built mainly of different kinds of basalts) and dark brown surrounding soil. The magnetic survey was carried out to the north of the excavation area.

In the compiled magnetic map (Fig. 8a) it is difficult to reveal the anomalous objects, but this map processed by the use of Eq. (5) (Fig. 8b) clearly displays the desired objects (they outlined by white rectangular and circular frames). The known archaeological objects excavated to the south of the surveyed area are presented in Fig. 8c. Obviously, that archaeological targets shown in Fig. 8c (discovered objects) and informational images presented in Fig. 8c (proposed objects) have a good agreement. Thus, Fig. 8b represents the simplest qualitative PAM.
6.1.2 Quantitative analysis of magnetic anomalies in complex environments

For quantitative analysis of magnetic anomalies, the following models usually are employed: thin bed (TNB), thick bed (TKB), horizontal circular cylinder (HCC) and horizontal plate (HP). These four models can be presented with various modifications (for instance, inclined upper and lower edges and inclined dipping), which practically cover all available major types of archaeological remains. For the TNB, TKB and HCC, improved modifications of the characteristic point method, tangent method and areal method were developed (Khesin et al., 1996). They are relevant for the complex environments: oblique magnetization, rugged relief and an unknown level of the normal magnetic field. For the HP it was recognized that by a sizeable horizontal extension two arising anomalies might be interpreted independently (as specific TNB models) even in the mentioned complex environments (Eppelbaum, 2007).

A simple example of inverse problem solution for the model of buried archaeological cavity ($J=0$) occurring in the magnetized medium ($J=300$ mA/m) with underlying layer of $J=150$ mA/m is displayed in Fig. 9. Strong oblique magnetization ($44^\circ$, typical for Israeli archaeological sites) and inclined surface relief complicate quantitative analysis of this anomaly. Simple visual analysis of the magnetic curve indicates that minimal amplitude of this anomaly might not coincide (see vertical green dash line) with the projection of hidden ancient object to the earth’s surface. Application of the developed methodology of inverse problem solution (Khesin et al., 1996) permitted to determine the position of the sphere center (a model of horizontal circular cylinder was applied) with a high accuracy (Fig. 9). Hence, a trouble-free quantitative PAM has been constructed.

6.1.3 Multimodel approach (on example of magnetic data examination)

Quantitative interpretation of magnetic anomalies was traditionally oriented to a single model of buried objects identification. In the case of the existence of several hypotheses relating to the parameters of the body causing the disturbance (i.e. the buried object) usually only one model was selected roughly presenting the object in the domain $\Omega_i$ of $k$-dimensional space of physical-archaeological factors. At the same time, as a rule ancient remains are complicated objects broken by human and geodynamic activity as well as various geological/environmental processes. Additional noise affecting interpretation includes rugged terrain relief, oblique polarization of geological objects and archaeological remains, a heterogeneous host medium among other factors (see Sect. 2). As a consequence, response function $\Lambda_i$ – geophysical field – may ambiguously represent the ancient target. Therefore, domain $\Omega_i$ may be divided into several subdomains $\Omega_1, ..., \Omega_m$ and in each of them a single model will dominate (Eppelbaum, 2005). In such a way we could develop $m$ physical-archaeological models of the same target, each corrected for separate subdomain $\Omega_1, ..., \Omega_m$. For instance, remain of ancient wall in definite geological conditions may be recognized as TKB (magnetic field), sphere (gravity field) and HP (resistivity). The multimodel approach may also be realized at varying levels of geophysical field registration. As a result, different models of explanation may be used in the process of quantitative interpretation.
Fig. 8. Magnetic maps of the northern part of Munhata site: (A) observed magnetic map (after Eppelbaum et al., 2000b), (B) magnetic map from (A) transformed to informational parameter, (C) excavated part of the Munhata site, some 10 m south of the studied site (after Commenge, 1996).
Integrating several response functions $\Lambda_i$ we can obtain a more accurate and reliable physical-archaeological model of an ancient target.

A simple model presented in Fig. 10 illustrates utilization of two different interpretations of the same ancient remnant by performing a magnetic survey at two different levels (0.1 and 3.0 m, respectively). Indeed, from the survey at the 0.1 m level it is a typical TKB model (Fig. 10a) and at the 3.0 m level, observations of the anomalous body may be interpreted as an HCC (Fig. 10b). Results of the TKB model interpretation were used to determine a center of the upper edge of the anomalous body (Fig. 10a) and the HCC model for localization of a center of HCC (Fig. 10b). Combining these two models (we have two response functions $\Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda_2$ from the subdomains $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$), we can develop a common generalized model of the anomalous body (Eppelbaum, 2005).

6.1.4 Magnetic survey at typical archaeological objects

The detailed magnetic survey is the most widely used geophysical tool in studying archaeological remains in Israel (e.g., Itkis and Eppelbaum, 1998; Eppelbaum, 2000a;
Interpretation of magnetic surveys in Israel is complicated by the strong inclination of the Earth’s magnetic field (about 42–46°). In addition, the multi-layered and variable structure of the upper part of the geological sequence (Horowitz, 1979; Dan, 1988) presents difficulties in the determination of the level of the normal magnetic field within the sites studied. Sometimes ancient seismological activity found essential reflection in the observed magnetic pattern. Industrial iron and iron-containing objects often produce an intensive noise effect. Uneven terrain relief also disturbs the effect of buried objects and complicates examination of magnetic anomalies. A significant number of the archaeological studied targets are situated in the vicinity of various industrial-agricultural objects that also disturb the archaeogeophysical measurements. The complex conditions of the survey require application of sophisticated geophysical equipment and advanced methods of qualitative and quantitative interpretation (Khesin et al., 1996; Eppelbaum et al., 2001b). Detailed magnetic survey was successfully analyzed in the sites of Banias, Beit-Gouvrin, Beit Shemesh, Emmaus, Eynan, Evora, Halutzia, Kissufim Road, Megiddo, Munhata, Nahal-Zehora, Nahal Nagit, Sha ’ar Ha-Golan, Tel Kara Hadid, Yodfat, etc. (Itkis and Eppelbaum, 1998; Eppelbaum et al., 2003a; Itkis, 2003; Eppelbaum et al., 2006b, 2007; Eppelbaum et al., 2010a, b; Itkis and Eppelbaum, 2009, 2010). Studying of magnetic susceptibility of ancient remains and surrounding media was performed practically at all archaeological sites where magnetic survey was performed (e.g., Itkis and Eppelbaum, 1998; Eppelbaum and Itkis, 2001; Itkis, 2003, 2008).

Some qualitative conclusions about the ancient targets might be obtained from the analysis of low-intensive temporary magnetic variations observed over the targets (Finkelstein and Eppelbaum, 1997). Such examination has an essential importance in the case of impossibility to carry out archaeological excavations. A new laser magnetic field detector permits to observe picoTesla anomalies over ancient targets with a very low magnetic contrast (Finkelstein and Eppelbaum, 1998).

Khesin’s methodology for determination of magnetization of the upper part of geological-archaeological section (sequence) by the use of magnetic survey at inclined relief permits to obtain some averaged magnetization without applying numerous kappametric sampling (Eppelbaum et al., 2001b; Khesin and Feinstein, 2005).

The obtained PAMs of different hierarchical complexity (the simplest PAMs reflect recognition of the desired target while complete PAMs represent 3-D models of archaeological remains) are used for substantiation of direct excavations in the recognized areas (as well as for prohibition of industrial activity) and for generating further strategies for archaeological investigations at sites where ancient remains have been discovered.

Magnetic anomalies analysis at Ashqelon-Marina (Fig. 1) had the aim to examine this area prior to large-scale commercial development. Within this area over 90 pits were identified and most of them were revealed using detailed magnetic prospecting (field works were performed by S. Itkis). The overlying layer of dark brown sandy soil was found to be over 0.5 m in thickness. The pits were all full of refuse (pottery, flints, bones, and stone vessel fragments, some botanical remains and shells). The most likely purpose of the pits is storage. These features were displayed in the magnetic map as ring (radius of 1.5–2 m) positive anomalies (12–20 nT). Figure 11 shows an example of magnetic anomaly interpretation over one such ancient garbage pit (the disturbing body was approximated here by the model of a thick bed) (after Eppelbaum et al., 2000a, with modifications).

Next example illustrates efficient application of magnetic survey at the ancient metallurgical site of Tel Kara Hadid. This site (Early Islamic period, 7th–11th centuries AD) is located on the western side of the southern Arava Valley, several km north of Eilat in Southern Israel (Fig. 1). The
site contains a series of copper mines and smelting camps of that period. The same type of slag, reflecting a uniform technology, characterizes all these smelting sites. On the magnetic map two anomalies are clearly detected (Fig. 12a), which were recognized as furnaces used in ancient metallurgy. Positions of the upper edges of the anomalous bodies (approximation model of a thin bed was used) and their magnetization were determined (Fig. 13b). A 3-D modelling of the magnetic field was applied to develop the final PAM (Fig. 13c) (Eppelbaum et al., 2001b).

6.2 Self-potential survey

Apparently, firstly SP measurements at archaeological sites in Israel were performed at the sites of Banias (Paneas), Shaar-Ha-Golan and Halutza (Eppelbaum et al., 2001a, 2004b). Later SP survey was performed at the site of Emmaus-Nikopolis (Eppelbaum et al., 2007), where small SP anomalies were registered over little caves of an artificial origin.

Let us consider combined SP/magnetic investigations at the site of Halutza. Halutza is located 20 km southwest of Be’er-Sheva town, in Southern Israel (Fig. 1). It was the central city of southern Palestine in the Roman and Byzantine periods and was occupied from the Nabatean (7th-2nd centuries BC) through the Byzantine period (4th–7th centuries AD). Halutza was founded as a way station for Nabatean traders traveling between Petra (Jordan) and Gaza. Combined geophysical investigations consisting of magnetic and SP measurements were performed in an area of 200 m² using a 1 × 1 m grid (Fig. 13a, b). According to a priori information, limestone structures had been excavated in the area of the site. It was expected that limestone (nonmagnetic) remains occur in the medium with magnetization of 70–100 mA/m that could produce the appearance of small negative magnetic anomalies; SP anomaly arising is based on the difference of the electric properties of the target/medium and the generation of the oxidation-reduction processes. The magnetic sensor level was located at 30 cm above the earth’s surface. SP measurements were performed using a microVoltmeter with high input impedance and special non-polarized electrodes (Cu in CuSO₄ solution) (Eppelbaum et al., 2001a). The potential-array scheme (with a base point electrode) was applied; the depth of electrode grounding was 10–15 cm. Visual analysis of the maps (Fig. 13a, b) indicates that the SP and magnetic fields have different trends, but the recognized negative anomalies in the southern part of this site are placed 2 m apart. Quantitative interpretation of SP and magnetic anomalies gave similar depths: 90 and 70 cm, respectively. The corresponding PAMs for the performed examination are displayed in Fig. 13c and d (after Eppelbaum et al., 2003b). The ancient walls excavated in direct proximity to the surveyed area occur at a depth of about 80 cm. It allows us to suggest that the similar objects are the sources of anomalies in the area covered by the integrated geophysical survey.

Fig. 12. Solving inverse problem and 3-D modeling of magnetic field in the Tel Kara Hadid site (Southern Israel): (A) Magnetic map of the studied site. (B) Rapid interpretation of magnetic anomalies using developed procedures along the profile I–I. \( \Delta J \) denotes the effective magnetization. (C) Results of 3-D modeling. Arrows show the determined position of the total magnetic field vector.
6.3 Resistivity survey

Resistivity (direct current) survey has been applied (by the use of dipole-dipole array) at several archaeological sites at Israeli coastal plane (Tanzi et al., 1983). Resistivity method was applied at the site of Nahal-Tut for identification of remains of Roman fortress in Northern Israel in 1997 (Y. Gurevich and S. Itkis, personal communication, 2008). Electric resistivity tomography (ERT) has been productively explored in Tel Yavne for identifying the thickness of cultural debris, the plan view extent of cultural debris, and the underlying bedrock contact (Bauman, 2005). Nahas et al. (2006) displayed effective application of electrical resistivity tomography at the sites of Yavne, Yavne Yam and Tel Nami on the Israeli Mediterranean coast and Bethsaida at the Sea of Galilee. A Continuous Vertical Electrical Sounding (CVES)
geoelectric survey in the el-Wad Cave indicated a low resistivity layer at the upper, central part of the cave, suggesting that lithified archeological deposits are preserved at this site (Weinstein-Evron et al., 2003). A test excavation conducted at the site has supported this PAM. On the basis of ERT application at the Cave of Letters (Dead Sea), the Bar Kokhba living surface has been differentiated, and areas of recent rockfall, ancient rockfall and bedrock have been indicated (Reeder et al., 2004). Ginzburg and Levanon (1977) very successfully applied resistivity method in Jerusalem for studying the thickness of the wall of the Mount of the Temple and determination of the underground location of pre-Herodian city walls in the City of David, as well as at the site of Tel-Afek.

DC mapping of the site of Tel-Afek is the classic example of this method application. The archaeological site of Tel Afek, dating to the Late Bronze Age (1550–1200 BC), is situated about 10 km east of Tel-Aviv (Fig. 1). One of the main geophysical-archaeological problems at this site consisted in mapping walls of ancient structures, almost completely covered by sediments. Ginzburg and Levanon (1977) previously applied at this site the resistivity method (altogether 8 profiles were observed) based on the essential differences in geoelectric characteristics between the ancient objects and sediments, and effectively localized several buried wall foundations in the studied area. One of the electric resistivity anomalies was examined (Fig. 14) with applying the advance interpretative methods developed in magnetic prospecting (Eppelbaum, 1999). For developing a PAM, the HCC model was applied. As evident from Fig. 14, the interpretation is in good agreement with the archaeological data.

6.4 Near-surface temperature survey

A first attempt to reveal archaeological remains in Israel by the use of near-surface temperature survey was realized by Paparo (1987) at the site of Gamla (Northern Israel). At this site five 80 m profiles (with 5 m observation step) and ten 15 m profiles (with 1 m observation step) were observed. Substantiation of this examination is thermophysical parameters contrast between the ancient targets (buried basaltic stones) and host medium (limestone underlying the alluvial deposits). The results of examinations were not very successful that obviously was caused by the complex geological-archaeological sequence in the Gamla area and insufficient calculation of some disturbing factors.

Near-surface thermal prospecting was applied to delineate qualitatively the remains of a Crusader fortress in the city of Netanya (central Israeli Mediterranean coast) (Paparo, 1991). In this investigation, limestone and kurkar (silicious lime-stone) ancient remains were reliably identified in the clay and loam surrounding.

A complete methodology of the near-surface temperature investigations at archaeological sites was presented in Eppelbaum (2008b, 2009b).

![Fig. 14. Inverse problem solution for resistivity anomaly in the archaeological site of Tel Afek, Central Israel (initial data from Ginzburg and Levanon, 1978). A cross in the section designates the location of the center of anomalous body.](image)

6.5 Gravity field analysis

Analysis of the numerous archaeological and geological publications as well as the author’s investigation (e.g., Eppelbaum, 2000a, b) indicates that the ancient objects supposed for examination by the use of microgravity survey in Israel may be classified (in the decreasing order) by the following way: (1) underground ancient cavities and galleries, (2) walls, remains of temples, churches and various massive constructions, (3) pavements and tombs, (4) Roman aqueducts (under favorable physical-geological environments), (5) areas of ancient primitive metallurgical activity (including furnaces) (under favorable physical-geological environments). Examining the different archaeological targets in Israel, it was supposed that microgravity method might be effectively applied at least on 20–25% of ancient sites (Eppelbaum, 2009a).

It is necessary to underline that physical measurement of vertical gravity derivatives cannot be replaced by computing of this parameter obtained by any transformation procedures: the second vertical derivative of gravity potential \( W_{zz} \) values computed from the field \( \Delta g_B \), as rule, show decreasing values comparing with the \( W_{zz} \) obtained from physical measurements. A simplified model example of buried pavement delineation (pavement excavated at the Megiddo site was utilized as a generalized physical-geological model) is presented in Fig. 15. A buried pavement having the positive density contrast of 400 kg/m³ and occurring at a depth of 1.8 m in uniform medium could be easily recognized by microgravity survey (Fig. 15a, anomalous effect from two bodies). Let’s will assume a low-density layer (2100 kg/m³) over the pavement (Fig. 15c). It makes the delineation of the pavement practically impossible in field conditions (registered anomaly.
is oscillating about 1 microGal) (Fig. 15a, anomalous effect from three bodies). At the same time values of the second vertical derivative of gravity potential \( W_{zz} \) (computed for the levels of 0.3 and 1.5 m) with a measurable accuracy testify to presence of a disturbing body (Fig. 15b).

7 Paleomagnetic examination

Paleomagnetic investigations were effectively applied at many archaeological sites including Abu Matar, Ashqelon, Tel Miqne and Megadim (Sternberg et al., 1999), Bizat Ruhama (Laukhin et al., 2001), Evron Quarry (Ron et al., 2003), Kebara Cave (Sternberg and Lass, 2007), Timna, Yotvata, Mitzpe Evrona, Givat Yocheved, Beer Ora Hill, Tel Kara Hadid (Ben Yosef et al., 2008; Shaar et al., 2010), etc. Borradaile (2003) employed Bayesian statistics for paleomagnetic classification of small samples collected in Israel. Segal et al. (2003) carried out paleomagnetic tests on two archaeological structures as an oven and a limekiln in the Crusader fortress Vadum Iacob (Northern Israel). These fireplaces enable determination of the local direction and intensity of the geomagnetic field on 24 August 1179, when its construction was terminated by the Arab conquest. Borradaile and Almqvist (2006) analyzed young viscous remagnetization directions at Armageddon (Megiddo), Northern Israel. It is necessary to underline that in many cases paleomagnetic data not only assist to recognize intricate magnetic pattern, but have serious independent significance.
8 Near surface seismics

Apparently, firstly seismic refraction method was applied in Israel to detect a cavity beneath the ancient mosque/synagogue at Machpelah and mapping of foundations of some ancient buildings in the old city of Jerusalem (Dolphin, 1981).

Seismic refraction method was effectively employed at the prehistoric site of el-Wad Cave located on the Mount Carmel in Northern Israel (Weinstein-Evron et al., 1991, 2003). The main aim of this examination was encountering of two distinct lithological layers and measuring the thickness of the upper sediment layer in the various unexcavated segments of the cave. For increasing resolution, spacing between the geophones was set at 0.5 m and, as a result, this problem was precisely solved (Weinstein-Evron et al., 2003).

Seismic diffraction method was successfully tested at the late prehistoric site of Shiqmim – ancient village in the Be’er-Sheva river valley in Israel’s northern Negev (Witten et al., 1995). The difficulty of this investigation consisted in that the archaeological features occur as much as 7 m deep in hard-packed soil and are usually completely filled with fine sediment as a result of depositional forces acting over the 6 millennium since their abandonment. The authors note that it is the first attempt to apply diffraction tomography to an archaeological context.

9 Remote sensing methods

The airborne thermal video radiometry (ATVR), applied at the Tel Levia site (Golan Heights, Israel) was capable of detecting small surface temperature variations in relatively high spatial resolution (Ben-Dor et al., 1999). The ATVR images provided additional information about the area that could not be observed from either ground or air by conventional ways.

Experimental microwave remote sensing performed at the Tseelim site (northern Negev desert), and it was indicated that this methodology might be applied for delineation of buried archaeological targets (Daniels et al., 2003).

Infrared and synthetic aperture radar data were used for detection, identification and mapping of ancient drainage system at the sites of Ashalim and Tseelim in the northern Negev (Southern Israel) (Blumberg et al., 2004).

Aerial photography at Tel Yavne (Central Israel) was used for creating base maps, locating land resistivity profiles, identifying surface and near surface archaeological features of interest, and documenting the present conditions of the site (Bauman et al., 2005).

10 Marine archaeogeophysical investigations

Mindell and Bingham (2001) have successfully tested high frequency, narrow-beam sub bottom profiler over an 8th-century BC shipwreck found off the coast of Israel. Weiss et al. (2007) fruitfully employed a system developed for detection and accurate mapping of ferro-metallic objects buried below the seabed in the vicinity of Atlit (northern Mediterranean coast of Israel). This system based on application of precise magnetic gradientometric equipment connected with GPS navigation and mounted at the non-magnetic catamaran (towed by a low-magnetic interfering boat) was carried out at the area of 3.5 km$^2$ on a 0.5 m grid. A paper of Boyce et al. (2004) suggesting to magnetic marine studying of submerged Roman Harbour (Caesarea Maritima, Israel) is of great interest. The magnetic surveys identified the presence of an extensive hydraulic concrete foundation below the ruined harbour moles. The magnetic anomaly patterns indicate that the concrete foundation was laid out in a header fashion. Magnetic lows within the structure have localized the baffles that were composed by sand to stabilize the concrete foundation walls. A continuation of this investigation (Boyce et al., 2009) has demonstrated that ancient ship ballast (basalts) could be reliably detected and mapped on the seabed using precise magnetic survey.

11 Other geophysical methods

11.1 Piezoelectric imaging

An experimental piezoelectric studying was performed at the site of Wadi Tahawim

The site, dating to the Early Islamic Period (7–10 centuries BC), is located five kilometers north of the town of Eilat (Fig. 1). The study aimed at locating buried quartz veins that were native sources of gold for ancient metallurgy (Neishtadt et al., 2006). The geological sequence is extremely heterogeneous at the local scale (varying from boulders to silt), and covers the quartz veins complicating their identification. Taking into account that piezoelectric investigations are the best methods for delineation of quartz veins, several experimental profiles were made using a MORION-2001 instrument (Neishtadt et al., 2006). Measurements (both electrode spacings and shotpoint distances were 5 m) conducted over a quartz vein covered by surface sediments (approximately of 0.4 m thickness) produced a sharp (500 microVolt) piezoelectric anomaly (Fig. 16). Piezoelectric values recorded over the host rocks (clays and pebbles) were close to zero. It should be noted that the methods developed in magnetic prospecting for a thick bed interpretation model were successfully applied for examination of this anomaly.

11.2 Vertical electric sounding

Weinstein-Evron et al. (2003) have applied the vertical electric sounding (VES) to delineate prehistoric caves in Mount Carmel (Israeli coastal plane, Northern Israel). Ezersky et al. (2000) have been mapped by the use of VES the stalagmite-rich Soreq Cave in Central Israel.
11.3 Very low frequency method

Eppelbaum and Khesin (1995) proposed a new scheme for VLF data interpretation and proved the feasibility of applying it in Israel for solving various environmental and archaeological problems. Geophysicists of the Isotope Environmental Company (Gedera, Israel) have successfully employed VLF survey for delineation of several archaeological sites in Israel (personal communication with Isotope workers, 1999).

11.4 Frequency domain electromagnetic method

Frequency Domain Electromagnetic method (FDEM) was applied in Soreq Cave (Ezersky et al., 2000) where fairly strong resistivity anomaly (more than 800 ohm·m as compared to approximately 200–400 ohm·m of background resistivities) was detected above the known cave (when measurements were carried out with 20 m offset). FDEM measurements were used mainly to locate resistivity anomalies in the lateral directions.

11.5 Ancient climate examination

Results of Ezersky et al. (2000) VES analyses in Soreq Cave were utilized for the paleoclimate data reconstruction from speleothems. Eppelbaum et al. (2006c) assessed the possibility of archaeo-temperatures determination by the measurements of modern temperature values observed in shallow boreholes. It should be noted that obtained values of ancient temperatures would help estimate the present physical-chemical conditions of materials utilized for building of antique constructions.

11.6 Dating of archaeological targets

A radiocarbon dating at site of Tel-Rehov (Central Israel) was utilized for modification of the traditional chronology of the Iron Age in the Levant (Bruins et al., 2003). Age estimates ranging from 50 to 70 ky were obtained for the Mousterian deposits of Amud Cave (Northern Israel) from thermoluminescence measurements performed on 19 burnt flints (Valladas et al., 1999). At the same cave an electron spin resonance (ESR) was applied for dating of Middle Paleolithic layers (Rink et al., 2001). For the aim of age determination of archaeological target appearing at the day surface (Holon town, Central Israel) a thermoluminescence method has been employed (Porat et al., 1999). Boaretto et al. (2000) have employed $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al in quartz for dating the prehistoric sediments in Tabun Cave (Mt Carmel, Northern Israel). Verri et al. (2004) have performed investigation of $^{10}$Be concentration to manufacture flint artifacts 300 000 years old from Qesem Cave (Central Israel). Other successful application of this method was illustrated by Goldrey-Smith et al. (2003) at the Kebaran site of Nahal Hadera V (NHV) in the coastal plain of Israel. McDermott et al. (2003) demonstrated combining application of ESR and uranium-series dating at several Israeli archaeological sites. Radiometric dating of the Siloam tunnel in Jerusalem (Frumkin et al., 2003) permitted to determine exactly its time of construction (about 700 BC). A new dating method based on the Meissner response in the superconducting state was tested in site of Tel-Dor (Caesarea, Israel coastal plain) (Reich et al., 2003).

11.7 Fourier-transform infrared spectrometry

A portable Fourier-transform infrared spectrometry was successfully used in the Kebara cave (Northern Israel) for differentiation of underlying rocks by their physical-chemical characteristics (Weiner et al., 1993).

12 Integrated geophysical surveys at archaeological sites in Israel

12.1 Estimation of geophysical integration efficiency on the basis of Kotelnikov’s criterion

The complex and multi-layered structure of many archaeological sites and their remains, and known ambiguity of interpretation of results from single geophysical methods, calls for an integration of different geophysical methods (Khesin et al., 1996; Eppelbaum et al., 2006a). The necessity of close integration between archaeology, geophysics and chemistry is clearly illustrated by Pollard and Bray (2007).
A simple calculation of integration preferences is conducted in Khesin and Eppelbaum (1997). If a set of methods is focused on investigating some independent indicators of equal value, the anomaly detection reliability $\gamma$ can be described by an error function (probability integral) as

$$\gamma = \text{erf} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\sum_i \nu_i} \right),$$

where $\nu_i$ is the ratio of the anomaly square to the noise dispersion for each $i$th geophysical field.

Now let us assume that the anomaly is indicated by three points and that the mean square of the anomaly for each field is equal to noise dispersion. For a single method, the reliability of detection of an anomaly of a known form and intensity by Kotelnikov’s criterion is expressed by $\text{erf}(\nu_i/2)$. Then, the value of reliability for individual methods is 0.61 and those of a set of two and three methods is 0.77 and 0.87, respectively (according to Eq. 6). Risk of an erroneous solution ($q$ value) is calculated as $q = 1 - \gamma$.

This means that the risk of an erroneous solution at the integration of two or three methods decreases by the factors of 1.7 and 3, respectively. A comparison of the risk with the expenditures enables an optimum set of methods to be determined.

12.2 Cartographic problem of “four colors” as substantiation of geophysical methods integration

The intuitive use of a small number of integration elements in practice can be theoretically substantiated using the solution of a well-known mathematical and cartographic problem of “four colors” (Appel and Haken, 1989) for geophysical methods integration (Khesin and Eppelbaum, 1997).

For the simplicity, it will be assumed that the goal of each archaeogeophysical prospecting stage is the selection of an area for more detailed operations at the next stage. The result of prospecting will be used for primarily evaluation of the areas under investigation and their classification into two groups: those worthy and unworthy of further studying. The objective of prospecting is to obtain maximum information at given expenditures (see Sect. 2).

Let us now examine the “four colors theorem” from this standpoint. Using elementary notions of the theory of graphs, the problem can be formulated as follows: one has to prove that all vertices of an arbitrary planar graph can be colored with four colors in such a way that no two vertices joined by a common edge are of the same color. It was proved as early as the middle of the 19th century that four colors suffice to color different counties on the map of England. A general solution of this theorem was obtained by Appel and Haken (1989) and finally updated by Thomas (1998).

The geophysical methods employed are a priori assumed as being of equal significance. The threshold field values (the borderline between plus and minus) and particular types of geophysical investigations are determined according to prospecting results for similar objects investigated previously and other geological and geophysical considerations. By area borderline we mean a certain line (surface), where specified physical characteristics pass over a threshold field values. These physical characteristics may, for example, include values of observed fields, field gradients or indicators of field variability.

12.3 Integrated archaeogeophysical surveys in Israel

The known combined archaeogeophysical investigations in Israel (unfortunately, at least 50% of the performed surveys exist only as non-published reports) are compiled in Table 2.

Certainly, the aforementioned applied geophysical method combinations should be qualitatively and quantitatively estimated, but it is a matter of separate investigation.

13 Development of multi-dimensional physical-archaeological database

The constant increase in geophysical-archaeological data and their revision has necessitated the development of an Integrated Archaeological-Geophysical Data Base (IAGDB). Obviously, it must be multi-componential and dynamic in character (Eppelbaum and Ben-Avraham, 2002). Besides spatial topographic coordinates $(x, y, z)$ of archaeological sites, the IAGDB should include all values of the geophysical
Table 2. Integrated geophysical investigations at archaeological sites in Israel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geophysical surveys and techniques</th>
<th>Archaeological site</th>
<th>Location of site</th>
<th>Desired targets</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seismics and GPR</td>
<td>Old City</td>
<td>Jerusalem</td>
<td>buried ancient constructions</td>
<td>Dolphin, 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seismics and GPR</td>
<td>El-Wad Cave</td>
<td>Mt. Carmel</td>
<td>underground caves</td>
<td>Beck and Weinstein-Evron, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVES and FDEM</td>
<td>Soreq Cave</td>
<td>Judean Hills, Central Israel</td>
<td>cave boundaries</td>
<td>Ezersky et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic and SP</td>
<td>Banias</td>
<td>Northern Israel</td>
<td>buried Roman cemetery and aqueduct</td>
<td>Eppelbaum et al., 2001a; Itkis and Eppelbaum, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic and SP</td>
<td>Halutza</td>
<td>Southern Israel</td>
<td>hidden Roman building remains</td>
<td>Eppelbaum et al., 2003a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR, seismics and CVES</td>
<td>El-Wad Cave</td>
<td>Mt. Carmel</td>
<td>hidden Roman building remains</td>
<td>Weinstein-Evron et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR, infrared survey and synthetic aperture radar</td>
<td>Ashalim and Tseelim</td>
<td>northern part of Negev desert</td>
<td>ancient buried drainage systems</td>
<td>Blumberg et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR and ERT</td>
<td>Cave of Letters</td>
<td>Dead Sea region</td>
<td>living surfaces</td>
<td>Reeder et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERT and aerial photography</td>
<td>Tel Yavne</td>
<td>central Israeli coastal plain</td>
<td>thickness of cultural debris and ancient constructions</td>
<td>Bauman et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic gradiometry and EM38 terrain conductivity</td>
<td>Tel Nami</td>
<td>northern Israeli coastal plain</td>
<td>ancient cultural remains</td>
<td>Nahas et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic and resistivity</td>
<td>Nahal-Tut</td>
<td>Northern Israel</td>
<td>remains of Roman fortress</td>
<td>Itkis and Gurveich, personal communication, 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a basis for the IAGDB development one could use Access DB (obviously, with utilization of all necessary graphic archaeological-geological data sets). Development of such a constantly growing database will increase effectiveness of geophysical examination of archaeological targets by simplifying and speeding up the planning, implementation and analysis of archaeogeophysical investigations. From a regional point of view, the Israeli IAGDB could be connected with similar databases from neighboring countries in the Mediterranean, the Near and Middle East (Eppelbaum et al., 2010b). The next step, apparently, will include a creation of Virtual Archaeology models (Pelfer et al., 2004; Jeffery, 2007) on the basis of combined simultaneous analysis of all possible geophysical, physical, chemical, environmental and other indicators.

14 Future perspectives: remote operative vehicle multilevel survey

Among the natural stationary disturbances compiled in Fig. 3 is presented such factors as swampy soil, dense vegetation, loose ground and uneven terrain relief. These factors often complicate performing land archaeogeophysical survey. The new Remote Operated Vehicles (ROV) generation – small and maneuvering vehicles – can fly at levels of few (and even one) meters over the earth’s surface (flowing the relief forms) and carry out combined geophysical measurements. The ROV geophysical investigations may be performed during short time period and will have a low exploitation cost.
Measurements of geophysical fields at different observation levels could provide new unique archaeological-geophysical information (it follows, for instance, from analysis of Fig. 10; besides this, the most effective application of characteristic point method for inverse problem solution (Khesin et al., 1996) demands knowledge of geophysical field behavior at two levels). Khesin’s methodology for determination of magnetization of the upper part of geological section (Eppelbaum et al., 2001b; Khesin and Feinstein, 2005) might be applied for the different levels of ROV observation: as a result the obtained magnetization values will reflect the different depth intervals. Finally, multilevel areal observations might be utilized for the procedure of downward continuation on the basis of Gauss’ theorem (Eppelbaum et al., 2008). The ROV archaeogeophysical surveys at the areas of world recognized religious and cultural artifacts (where excavations practically always are suppressed and surface survey is hampered) might have a great importance (Eppelbaum, 2010).

It is proposed that the most prospective geophysical integration for ROV should include measurements of magnetic and VLF electromagnetic fields (Eppelbaum et al., 2000a; Eppelbaum, 2008a). GPS (with utilization of the improved wide-band Kalman filtering (Eppelbaum, 2008a)) will assure an exact topogeodetic relation for the proposed observations. Integration of land and ROV geophysical data will provide a distinct success in the archeological sites examination (Eppelbaum, 2008a). Taking into account the current progress with underwater geophysical imaging (e.g., Rigaud, 2007), similar integration may be realized (for marine and underwater geophysical examinations) at numerous archaeological sites located in the littoral zones of Israeli marine areas.

15 Conclusions

Many thousands of archaeological sites occur in complex geological media in Israel. Their localization and classification may be realized by different ways, but information characteristics will help to estimate the concrete method effectiveness. The various kinds of noise arising in archeogeophysical studying are briefly described and arranged. It concluded that the GPR and magnetic survey at present are the most employed and efficient geophysical methods at archaeological sites in Israel. At the same time, a variety of other geophysical (physical) methods were successfully applied at Israeli archaeological sites, including marine and remote sensing studying. The final aim of different processing methods, application of algorithms and interpretations, is creation of the Physical-Archaeological Models (PAMs) of the ancient buried remains. PAMs of different types may be used to undertake excavations in recognized areas and for planning upcoming archaeological investigations at sites where ancient remains have been discovered. Development of the multidimensional dynamic physical-archaeological database will help effectively join different branches of archaeological and related sciences. Obviously, the wide application of low cost and operative ROV for carrying out geophysical multilevel survey will increase the effectiveness of geophysical methods application at the recent future.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to two anonymous reviewers, whose critical comments and valuable suggestions were helpful in final preparing this paper.

Edited by: L. Eppelbaum, N. Masini, and F. Soldovieri
Reviewed by: two anonymous referees

References


Eppelbaum, L. V.: Multilevel observations of magnetic field at archaeological sites as additional interpreting tool, Proceed. of the 6th Conference of Archaeological Prospection, Roma, Italy, 4 pp., 2005.

Eppelbaum, L. V.: Introduction to Potential Geophysical Fields, Handmanual for students, Tel Aviv University, 214 pp., 2007.


Eppelbaum, L. V.: Application of microgravity at archaeological sites in Israel: Some estimation derived from 3D modeling and quantitative analysis of gravity field, Proceed. of the 22nd SAGEEP Conference, 22(1), 434–446, 2009a.


Eppelbaum, L. V.: Methodology of detailed geophysical examination of the areas of world recognized religious and cultural artifacts, Trans. of the 6th EUG Meet., Geophysical Research Abstracts, 12, EGU2010–5859, Vienna, Austria, 3 pp., 2010.


Eppelbaum, L. V., Ben-Avraham, Z., and Itikis, S. E.: Integrated geophysical investigations at the Halutza archaeological site, Proceed. of the 64, EAGE Conf., Florence, Italy, P151, 4 pp., 2003b.


Eppelbaum, L. V. and Itikis, S. E.: Detailed magnetic investigations at the ancient Roman site Banias II (Northern Israel), Proceed. of the 1st Intern Symp, on Soil and Archaeology, Szazhalombatta, Hungary, 13–16, 2001.

Eppelbaum, L. V. and Itikis, S. E.: Geophysical examination of the...


Eppelbaum, L. V., Khesin, B. E., and Itkis, S. E.: Some peculiarities of geophysical investigations at archaeological sites in Israel, Russian Archaeology, 1, 59–70, 2006b.


Itkis, S., Feinstein, S., and Khesin, B.: Archaeomagnetic provinces in Israel as a basis for magnetic prospecting of archaeological sites, Proceed. of the 14th Near-Surface Europe. Meeting of Environmental and Engineering Geophysics, Krakow, Poland, 5 pp., 2008.


