Mass wasting at the base of the south central Chilean continental margin: the Reloca Slide
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Abstract. Offshore south central Chile (35° S–42° S), the morphology of the lowermost continental slope and trench floor witnesses a voluminous submarine mass-wasting event. The blocky slide body deposited in the Chile Trench at 73°46′W 35°35′S was targeted for study during RRS JAMES COOK Cruise JC23 and termed Reloca Slide. Its size of about 24 km³, its steep and high headscarp, the spatial distribution of slide deposits and the cohesive nature of major slide blocks make it interesting to address the issue of tsunami generation. We have obtained seismic reflection data that partly reveal the internal structure of the slide body. Gravity core samples were retrieved that will allow the slide to be dated and linked to the history of sedimentation and slope stability along this particular segment of the Chilean convergent margin. At present we assume a Holocene age for the sliding event.

1 Introduction

Landslides at submarine slopes are detectable in swath bathymetric data that reveal their characteristic morphological features, for example displaced and rotated blocks, debris flow deposits, headscarps and slump scars. The spatial distribution and the dimensions of these attributes can be used to describe the physics of the failure process and the rheology of the failed slope material. Estimates of the volumes of failed masses, important for calculations of the potential tsunami effect, can be made using reconstructed surfaces with digital elevation models. Other attributes such as the amount of internal deformation and the physical nature of the gliding plane, can be derived from seismic reflection data and direct geological sampling at the exposed failure scarp. Geological sampling of debris flow deposits related the slide and the hemipelagic sediment cover postdating the event can permit to date the event and tie it to the tectonic and climatic history of the region.

Here, we combined swath bathymetric data as well as backscatter information of a number of geo-marine surveys to map and identify the large and smaller scale morphological characteristics of the Reloca Slide. These are interpreted in terms of the displacement process and the rheology of the failed lowermost slope material. A volume calculation of the displaced mass resting in the trench, respectively missing at the lower continental slope is presented. A first and cautious estimation of the possible consequence of the sliding event in terms of initial tsunami wave height is given, using equations of Watts et al. (2003). Also, we try to set limits to the age of the Reloca Slide emplacement, based on a reflection seismic profile and gravity core samples.

2 Geologic setting

1. The continental margin of Chile north of the Chile Triple Junction (46°S) is formed by the subduction of the Nazca Plate beneath the South American Plate. The plates converge at about 70 mm/yr (70 km/myr) at a slightly oblique angle to the NE (~81° Angermann et al., 1999). According to some authors, this oblique motion has led to the formation of a forearc sliver (the Chiloé Microplate) that is decoupled from the back arc and moves northward (Melnick et al., 2009).
2. The floor of the Peru-Chile Trench between the Juan Fernández Ridge at 32°S and the Chile Ridge at 46°S is generally flat due to flooding by turbidites. There is an S-N increase of water depth (3300 m at 46°S to 5400 m at 32°S). In line with this gradient, there is a general S-N transport and redistribution of sediment, supported by a 1–2 km wide and 50–150 m deep, erosional trench axial channel that originates from the Chacao Canyon at 41°S, and is connected to the exits of large submarine Canyon systems (Thornburg and Kulm, 1983; Thornburg et al., 1990; Laursen and Normark, 2002; Völker et al., 2006). The thickness of the sediment fill of the Peru-Chile Trench axis is ~2 km in this segment.

3. This sediment fill nourishes the growth of a frontal accretionary prism (Bangs and Cande, 1997; Ranero et al., 2006). In the trench, adjacent to the lowermost slope seismic reflection data reveal a set of reverse faults. The thrust faults develop at depth, and some of them reach the sea floor crosscutting the entire trench fill sequence. Between 37.6°S and 38.3°S, and between 33.2°S and 34.5°S these faults have a surface expression as they form a succession of sedimentary ridges that strike parallel to the trench axis. They are interpreted as compressive ridges of trench deposits at the base of the continental slope, documenting the trench-ward growth of the recent accretionary prism (Völker et al., 2006; Contreras-Reyes et al., 2008).

4. The internal structure of the margin wedge, the lack of an accretionary wedge of Mesozoic and Tertiary age, as well as the Neogene eastward migration of the volcanic arc at 33–37°S indicate that the present phase of accretion has not been consistently maintained in the past, and may just have started since the Pliocene, coincident with southern-hemisphere glaciation (Bangs and Cande, 1997; Kukowski and Oncken, 2006). It has been speculated that the onset of accretion, as well as the related change in kinematics, observed in the main Andean Cordillera and the fore-arc basins was related to the glacially controlled increase of sediment flux to the trench (Kukowski and Oncken, 2006; Melnick and Echtler, 2006).

5. Landward of about 30 km from the wedge tip, the margin wedge is considered old continental basement that possibly acts as a structural backstop for recent frontal accretion. This basement is interpreted to be the seaward continuation of a Late Paleozoic metamorphic complex exposed on land in the Coastal Cordillera (Glodny et al., 2006; Reichert, 2002). The complex (the Eastern and Western metamorphic Series) is seen as a Permo-Triassic accretionary wedge (Glodny et al., 2005; Willner et al., 2005; Hervé et al., 2007). The transition from the present accretionary wedge to continental basement can be imaged as a steep lateral velocity gradient (Contreras-Reyes et al., 2008) and as a major step in surface heat flow data (Grevemeyer et al., 2003, 2006). In seismic reflection profiles and the respective line-drawings, this transition is not well imaged, but drawn as a steep, landward-inclined boundary (Diaz-Naveas, 1999; Grevemeyer et al., 2006; Reichert, 2002).

6. Seismic reflection data across the margin wedge show that a laterally varying part of the sediment stack is carried to depth within a subduction channel (Bangs and Cande, 1997; Diaz-Naveas, 1999). Whether this sediment input is accreted basally and is responsible for coastal uplift in places (Lohrmann et al., 2006; Melnick and Echtler, 2006) or carried deeper into the subduction zone (e.g. Krawczyk et al., 2006) is a present matter of research. Evidently, coastal uplift rates, which may indicate underplating (Rehak et al., 2008), and the proposed thickness of subducted sediment in the subduction channel change drastically over distances of less than 100 km (Bangs and Cande, 1997).}

7. Sediment input to slope and trench is presently mainly fluvial (Lamy et al., 1998, 1999) and the major river systems have created submarine canyons, probably during sea level low-stands. As the submarine canyons form “drainage” networks that reach up to the upper slope or even connect to river mouths, they funnel sediment into the trench where submarine fans have formed at the canyon exits (Thornburg et al., 1990). The canyon systems are latitudinally spaced at distances of 50–150 km and form steep-walled, v-shaped gullies that cut as deep as 1000 m into the margin wedge (Hagen et al., 1996; Laursen and Normark, 2002; Völker et al., 2006). Although much of the downslope sediment transport is concentrated in the canyons, the slope is mantled by slope deposits that thicken in basinal depressions (Raitzsch et al., 2007; Contardo et al., 2008).

8. The continental slope segments between the submarine canyon systems show a number of steep escarpments (up to 30–40° inclination) as well as platforms and regions that are inclined landwards. While some of the escarpments seem to be surface expressions of deep-reaching faults, others show properties related to mass failure. We counted 59 individual mass wasting features on the continental slope between 32°S and 46°S.

9. Sedimentation rate estimates for the region are sparse: (a) for the seaward side of the trench, rates were determined at ODP leg 202 (Shipboard Scientific Party, 2003). At site 1232 (500 km south of the Reloca site), ~47.5 cm/Kyr were proposed as average sedimentation rate for the last 780 Kyr. (b) For the trench, but from a perched position on top of an isolated, 200 m high seamount, a rate was determined for gravity core 50SL.
This core was retrieved 310 km south of Reloca Slide and 8.5 km away from the deformation front and a mean sedimentation rate of about 12 cm/Kyr for Holocene was proposed (Völker et al., 2008). (c) For the continental slope offshore Concepción (100 km south of Reloca Slide), rates were determined over the past ∼100 years for two sites at 1294 and 2065 m water depth (Muñoz et al., 2004). The very high values of 0.18 ± 0.02 cm/y were explained by the vicinity of the BioBio Submarine Canyon.

3 Description of the Reloca Slide

3.1 Morphology

At 73°46′W 35°35′S, a blocky mass of material lies at the foot of the continental rise and extends onto the 5100 m deep, flat floor of the sediment-filled Chile Trench (Fig. 1). We interpret this feature to be a slide body, the Reloca Slide, named for the Reloca River of the Talca Province in the Maule Region of Central Chile. The Reloca slide body is constructed of three major blocks (Fig. 2a, blocks 1–3) rising some 100 m and about 25 smaller blocks (Fig. 2a, blocks 4–28) rising some 10 m above a surrounding cone of scattered debris. The dimensions of the area directly affected by the slide are 16 km N-S and 18 km E-W. The major blocks (blocks 1–3) cover areas of 12.4, 8.8 and 15.2 km², respectively.

The outlying debris field has a maximum run-out distance of 18 km from the lowermost slope. It crosses and partly buries the 50–60 m deep central axial channel of the Chile Trench (Fig. 2a). This debris field has the form of a flat-topped fan that is elongated in E-W direction. It forms a distinct edge of roughly 60 m height difference at its northern limit, best seen as a slope gradient anomaly (Fig. 2b, arrow 2). We traced the seaward limit of a corresponding debrite deposit with the help of a sediment echo sounder to deposit to 73.92°W longitude (Figs. 1, 2a). The smaller blocks form clusters within the debris cone (Fig. 2a, b, arrow 1). A number of blocks seem to be aligned on the outer rim of the debris cone (blocks 15–25, Fig. 2a). At the distal most end of the slide debris, two block (blocks 24 and 25) of 10–20 m elevation and 0.37 resp. 0.24 km² size lie west of the axial channel (Fig. 2a, b, arrow 2). The size and elevation of the blocks is given in Table 1. The transition between the slide debris and the regular trench fill sediment does not show up in backscatter images of the SIMRAD EM120 bathymetric echo sounder (Fig. 2c, box 5).

The largest two blocks (1 and 2) appear compact and angular with steep flanks facing seaward and landward (25–35° inclination, Fig. 2b). Block 1 lies directly at the foot of the lowermost continental slope. This block and the lowermost slope form a closed drainage basin of 4.5 × 1.8 km extension (8.3 km² area) that has accumulated sediment. The flat-lying sediment fill shows low backscatter (Fig. 2c, arrow 6).

The lower continental slope facing Reloca Slide is steep (20–30°). It has an arcuate form with a defined headscarp edge and sidewalls and is therefore interpreted to be the headscarp of the slump (Fig. 2a, b). This 2000 m high headscarp has an edge at about 2900–3000 m water depth, where...
the slope gradient increases seawards from 5° to 40° over a short distance (Fig. 2b, arrow 3). Downslope of 3300 m, the slope gradient decreases to about 25°, a value that is maintained until the floor of the sediment basin in the back of the largest slump block is met.

A profile that runs WNW-ESE in line with its extension across the slide clearly shows the morphological elements (Fig. 3): the headscarp with a pronounced edge, the sliding ramp of the failure plane, the main mass of the slide as large angular blocks and the runout. The dimensions are listed in Table 2a. According to the classifications of Prior and Coleman (1979), Mulder and Cochonat (1996) and Hampton et al. (1996), the term underwater slide refers to thin, translational, failures that travel long distances, while the term underwater slump refers to thick, rotational, failures that occur with minimal down-slope displacement. The division between the two is based on the ratio between the thickness $T$ and length $b$ of the mass wasting feature ($T/b$, Skempton Ratio, Mulder and Cochonat, 1996). With a Skempton Ratio of 0.04 to 0.16 (values of $T$ and $b$ in Table 1a), the feature falls into the category of slides.

It is notable, that from 20 km north to 15 km south of Reloca Slide (Between 35°20′S and 35°45′S), the deformation front appears indented by about 10 km (Fig. 1).

### 3.2 Volume estimation

Approximations of the volume of the slide mass deposited in the trench and the missing material from at the headscarp were calculated with a Digital Elevation Model using the Generic Mapping Tools (GMT, Wessel and Smith, 1998) and GRASS GIS (Neteler and Mitsatova, 2004) programs. In order to derive volume estimations, we mapped the outlines of the Reloca Slide, created an hypothetical pre-slide
Table 2. a) Basic dimensions of Reloca Slide, b) terminal velocity, tsunami wavelength and tsunami wave amplitude after Watts et al. (2003).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Basic dimensions (see Fig. 3)</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$b$  m length of slide body</td>
<td>10 000</td>
<td>5 000</td>
<td>8 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$  m water depth of mass centroid prior to failure</td>
<td>4 000</td>
<td>3 300</td>
<td>3 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$ degree inclination of ramp</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$  m thickness of slide body</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Calculated parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
<th>Value 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u_t$ m/s terminal velocity</td>
<td>$u_t \simeq 1.16\sqrt{bg\sin(\phi)}$</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_0$ m wavelength of tsunami wave</td>
<td>$\lambda_0 \simeq 3.87\sqrt{\frac{bf}{\sin(\phi)}}$</td>
<td>34 614</td>
<td>26 880</td>
<td>31 947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{2d}$ m amplitude above mass center</td>
<td>$\eta_{2d} \simeq 0.2139T \left(1 - 0.7458\sin(\phi) + 0.1704\sin^2(\phi)\right) \left(\frac{b\sin(\phi)}{d}\right)^{1.25}$</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Depth profile across Reloca Slide, showing major morphological elements and properties. The position of profiles is indicated in Fig. 1 as thin, dotted lines.

bathymetry of the Chile Trench and slope and calculated the volumes between the two surfaces within the slide outline.

The creation of the pre-slide bathymetry of the trench was accomplished by removing the swath bathymetry data from within the outline of the slide area and replacing them by extrapolated elevation values. This was relatively easy for the slide mass as it rises from the flat trench floor of 5 060–5 070 m water depth. Restoration of the slope was accomplished by copying the morphology of slope regions adjacent to the slide scar to the headscarp area (method described in Völker, 2009). Both calculations were done repeatedly and with different sets of interpolation parameters, resulting in six versions of the pre-slide bathymetry and, accordingly, value ranges for the volumes from 14.3 to 17.3 km$^3$ (mean of all models: 15.5 km$^3$). Our preferred version of a restored slide headscarp and trench is shown in Fig. 4.

The calculated volumes of the slide mass only account for the fraction of the slide body that rises above the trench

---
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Fig. 5. Seismic profile P09 of RRS JAMES COOK expedition JC23b across Reloca Slide. Insert (b) shows the transition of undisturbed, parallel sediments of the trench facies to increasing compressional deformation when approaching the continental margin, as well as the transition of chaotic to well stratified strata that depicts the lower slide boundary. Insert (c) highlights the enigmatic sediment fill of the small basin in the back of slide block 1.

The trench facies (Common Midpoints or CMP, 170–400) is visible as downward transition from a chaotic reflection pattern to a more uniformly stratified unit (Fig. 5b). Further landward (CMP 400–500), this transition gets obscured by compressional deformation features (duplexes) of the trench sediment. From CMP 500–550 (Fig. 5c), again the well stratified strata of below the slide are seen at a TWT of 0.2 to 0.22 from the sea floor which has a depth of 4910 m (CMP 500), respec-
Table 3. Calculated volumes of the slide scar as well as the slide mass and its major components. The volumes of the slide scar represent a mean of six model runs with the same elevation input values but different interpolation parameters. As the water depth of the trench floor ranges between 5050 and 5070 m, we conducted three calculations and propose a mean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slide element</th>
<th>Description of model run</th>
<th>Volume [km$^3$] (mean of 6 models)</th>
<th>Standard deviation [km$^3$]</th>
<th>Mean [km$^3$]</th>
<th>Mean, corrected for keel depth [km$^3$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Slide scar</td>
<td>Rec. 1 conservative</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rec. 2 generous</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Slide mass</td>
<td>Rec. 1 Base −5050 m</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rec. 2 Base −5060 m</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rec. 3 Base −5070 m</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a) Block 1</td>
<td>Rec. 1 Base −5050 m</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rec. 2 Base −5060 m</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rec. 3 Base −5070 m</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b) Block 2</td>
<td>Rec. 1 Base −5050 m</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rec. 2 Base −5060 m</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rec. 3 Base −5070 m</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c) Block 3</td>
<td>Rec. 1 Base −5050 m</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rec. 2 Base −5060 m</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rec. 3 Base −5070 m</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>−</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relocably 4930 (CMP 550). With a sediment sound velocity of 2000 m per second (Contreras-Reyes, 2008) this translates into a depth of 200–220 m. The keel depth with respect to the mean trench floor depth of 5060 m, is therefore 50–80 m, the ratio between keel depth and elevation above the basis at 5060 m lie in the range of 0.4–0.5 at this particular place. If this ratio between the volumes buried beneath respectively standing out from the basal plane of the trench were a general feature for the slide, one would have to apply a volume correction by a factor of 1.4 to 1.5 to the slide volume calculated from the parts rising from the trench abyssal plain. The configuration of the seismic device did, however, not allow to depict the lower boundary of the main block. The lower boundary of the sedimentary basin can be seen in Fig. 5c). We suggest a lower slide boundary by connecting the concave basin of the profile segments where the transition is seen (Fig. 5a). Following this suggestion, we propose a correction factor of 1.4 for the buried parts of the Reloca Slide. The resulting volumes for the headscarp, the slide mass and the major blocks are listed in Table 3. According to our calculations, the slide comprises of a total volume of 24 km$^3$, 66% of which is represented by the three largest, compact blocks.

3.3 Sediment sampling

Sediment gravity cores were collected during RRS JAMES COOK cruise JC23b (Flueh and Bialas, 2008). The coring plan was laid out to sample an undisturbed reference core up-slope of the headscarp, several samples from the headscarp, the top of the most elevated slide block 1 and the distal most parts of the slide (Table 4, Figs. 1, 2a). The two coring sites from the distal part of the landslide were chosen on basis of analog sediment echo sounder data that showed a 1−3 m thick, well-layered top part (post-slip sediments) resting on an acoustically transparent, wedge-shaped sediment body (debris, slumped material). The goal was to sample both acoustic units in order to provide material appropriate for dating the event. This was achieved at gravity core station GC11 (Fig. 6, Table 4). From the sea floor to 131.5 cm depth below sea floor (bsf.), the sediment column consists of homogeneous, brown silty clay to clay, intercalated by few thin volcanic ash layers and a 7.5 cm thick, internally layered bed of sand-sized dark gray volcanic ash at the base. Below this tephra layer, from 139 to 303 cm bsf., there is a lithological unit that consists of lenses of heterogeneous material. There is no layering visible, instead, sediment clasts of up to 10 cm size in diameter are stacked chaotically. The chaotic structure of this unit is well visible as the clasts show different colors, thus producing a patchy appearance with a dominance of dark gray and light gray patches (Fig. 6). The clasts are slightly rounded and internally uniform. They consist of fine-sand sized material with the exception of some lenses of clay stones. The unit ends at 303 cm bsf., from here to the bottom of the core at 516 cm bsf, again homogeneous silty clay, intercalated by a number of thin volcanic ash layers dominate, with the exception of a bed (470.5–495 cm) that shows wavy layering and color changes, similar but less pronounced than the distinct bed from 139 to 303 cm bsf.
Table 4. Gravity core sites of RRS JAMES COOK cruise JC23b at the Reloca Slide site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Longitude [degree]</th>
<th>Latitude [degree]</th>
<th>Water Depth [m]</th>
<th>Length [cm]</th>
<th>Situation</th>
<th>General lithology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JC23b-GC01</td>
<td>−73.6648</td>
<td>−35.6018</td>
<td>2889</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>Reference core up-slope of fault headscarp</td>
<td>Silty homogeneous clay, intercalated by sand-sized volcanic ash layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC23b-GC02</td>
<td>−73.6760</td>
<td>−35.6024</td>
<td>3398</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Upper headscarp</td>
<td>Silty material, very dry and hard. Thin (1–2 cm) layer of mud on top</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC23b-GC03</td>
<td>−73.6881</td>
<td>−35.6020</td>
<td>5054</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Central headscarp</td>
<td>Very hard, gray and almost dry clay. Had to be removed from the core tip with hammer and chisel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC23b-GC10</td>
<td>−73.9200</td>
<td>−35.5500</td>
<td>5054</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Distal (western-most) end of slump, W of axial channel</td>
<td>Homogeneous silty clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC23b-GC11</td>
<td>−73.9100</td>
<td>−35.5500</td>
<td>5056</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>Distal end of slump, west of the axial channel</td>
<td>Homogeneous silty clay with ash layers, disrupted by a unit of chaotically stacked clasts of various origin (debrite, see Fig. 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC23b-GC12</td>
<td>−73.7300</td>
<td>−35.5900</td>
<td>4473</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>Summit of easternmost slump block</td>
<td>Silty homogeneous clay, intercalated by a thick bed of clay stone and volcanic ash clasts. Bottom layer coarse sandy layer of volcanic ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC23b-GC13</td>
<td>−73.7200</td>
<td>−35.6300</td>
<td>3920</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Central headscarp</td>
<td>Hard, dry clay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The summit of the main slide block was sampled at gravity core station GC12, but the corer hit a thick and very coarse (sand-gravel) layer of volcanic debris at 200 cm depth bsf, and was bent. From 30 cm bsf. to this layer, the core contained dark brown homogeneous clay with the exception of a 9 cm thick bed of reworked rounded clay galls and tephra at 80–89 cm bsf.

The headscarp and sliding plane resisted corer penetration. The core catcher was filled by about 20 cm of extremely stiff, almost dry clay (GC02, GC03 and GC13). At GC03, the material had to be removed from the cutter with hammer and chisel. Obviously, the combination of steep slope (20–25°) and very compacted material made it impossible to sink the corer in.

4 Discussion

4.1 The Reloca Slide event

The slide shows a bimodal morphology with three large, angular main blocks close to the headscarp and a debris cone including scattered smaller blocks. This morphology lets us suggest that the slide was a two-stage event. In the first stage, a 400–500 m thick rock unit detached from the failure plane and slid down the slide scar with little internal deformation but the separation of what now are the three main blocks and possibly a slight rotation. The three blocks comprise the major volume (66%) of the failed mass, respectively almost the total of the volume hypothetically missing at the slope. The
fact that they have not moved far from the headscarp may be due to the morphology of the ramp (Fig. 3), which is relatively steep and straight and ends without major transition at the flat trench floor. The motion must have been a rather steep and abruptly stopped drop instead of a continuous slide which would expected along a concave gliding plane with a gentle transition to the abyssal plain.

The debris cone formed in a subsequent stage as product of a debris flow that probably incorporated trench sediment material as the total calculated volume of the slide mass exceeds the calculated failed slope volume. It was thick and dense enough to support the scattered smaller blocks and transport them 18 km further westward and even across the axial channel. The E-W elongated morphology of the debris cone, its northern limit forming a 60 m step of the sea floor (Fig. 2b, arrow 2), the radial alignment of blocks along the rim of the debrite and its crossing of the axial channel witness a plastic behavior of the debrite. Also, they show that the motion of the debrite flow came to a sudden still stand once a certain threshold of kinetic energy was reached. It might be that during failure the slide mass separated into a more rigid lower part that broke into the three main and a number of smaller blocks in a brittle fashion, while a less consolidated part of the slope sediment developed into a debris flow that incorporated trench sediment and carried the smaller blocks further outward. The filling of the basin in the back of the largest slide block could be a third stage of the event.
4.2 Age of the event

At present, we have only indirect information about the dating of the event:

1. The slide clearly postdates the incision of the central axial channel of the Chile Trench (Fig. 2a), as its distal most toe partly buries the channel. The channel is believed to have been either actively being carved or built about 10–12,000 years ago when sediment input from the slope canyons was nourished by glaciated coastal drainages (Völker et al., 2006).

2. We suppose that the conspicuous bed in GC11 (139 to 303 cm bsf., Fig. 6) is a debris flow deposit that represents the distal most end of Reloca Slide, and that both the volcanic ash bed and the silty mud on top are post-emplacement sediments. If the trench sedimentation rate of about 47 cm/Kyr that was calculated for site 1232 of ODP leg 202 (Shipboard scientific party, 2003) is representative, this converts into an age of ~3 Kyr. GC12 probably was too short to penetrate the post-emplacement sediment cover.

3. The sedimentary fill of the basin that formed between the slide block and the fault scarp is filled by a sequence of seismically stratified sediments. In our seismic reflection profile, this sequence has a maximum thickness of 0.4 s TWT (Fig. 5c). Assuming a seismic velocity of 1.8–2.0 km/s (E. Contreras-Reyes, personal communication, 2008), this is equivalent to about 100 m of sediment. This is a very disconcerting finding, as it seems to contradict the assumption of a recent (<12 Kyr) event. Taking into account the age ranges proposed beforehand, a local sedimentation rate of 10–30 m/Kyr were necessary to build up this sequence. One way of resolving the direct contradiction is to propose a slow and non-destructive emplacement of a thick sediment sequence from the upper headscarp, coeval with or in the wake of the Reloca Slide event. We are not aware of any report of a similar process, though. Also, no sediment core was taken in the basin.

Efforts are underway to accurately date the post-emplacement sediment cover by a combination of tephra-chronology of the ash bed directly overlying the distal Reloca Slide debrine and AMS $^{14}$C dating. Also, we try to analyze the clasts composing the debris flow bed in GC11 for provenance and water depth of initial deposition.

4.3 Possible Triggering of the Reloca Slide

The high seismicity of the area could provide a trigger for mobilization of sediment deposited on the open slope or in slope basins. Whether or not this can result in large slope failures and generation of seismo-turbidites mainly depends on the local sedimentation rates. Where sedimentation rate is low, regional high seismicity would perhaps rather limit the potential for voluminous slumping events as a seismic cycle of several hundred years as is documented for southern central Chile (Cisternas et al., 2005) would not provide sufficient time to store enough material (Blumberg, 2008; Völker et al., 2008). Even with the high sedimentation rates reported by Muñoz et al. (2004), the seismic cycle would allow for the buildup of a sediment blanket of only 20–40 cm in the interval between major earthquakes.

In the case of Reloca Slide however, the steep headscarp, the thickness and the blocky appearance of the main slide blocks rather suggest that slope failure occurred in the frontal prism material or even affected continental base rock. The mentioned indentation of the deformation front in the vicinity of the Reloca Slide area (Fig. 1) could be the result of a retreat of the lower slope due to mass removal processes preceding the Reloca Slide event. In this case it is possible that Reloca Slide affected not only frontally accreted material of the last few million years but cut through Paleozoic continental basement. The small amount of material that was retrieved from the slide scar at coring sites GC02, GC03 and GC13 (Table 2) consisted of compacted clay material, which rather points to frontally accreted material. We intend to study the illite cristallinity (Kisch, 1991) of these sediments in order to get a grip on the degree of metamorphism and the related overburden pressure they were subject to. According to our reconstruction of the pre-slide bathymetry, the retrieved material has been buried by 150 m (GC02), respectively 350 m (GC03 and GC13) rock (Fig. 7).
If the Reloca Slide involved compacted material of the accretionary wedge or even the Permo-Triassic backstop, it is likely that the slide scar evolved on a pre-existing fault surface. Deep-reaching normal faults that crosscut the lower and middle slope are noted in seismic reflection data of Rodrigo et al., 2009 (profile SO161-43). Such deep-reaching faults may have evolved as splay faults in a compressive regime such as at the convergent margin offshore Colombia (Collot et al., 2008).

Another option has been pointed out by Röser (2007). He shows, that sediments of the Chile Trench under certain pressures are prone to frictional behavior characterized by velocity weakening. This behavior could imply a threshold level for vertical loading under which gliding planes develop. This option will be investigated with geo-mechanical experiments on the failure scarp material.

### 4.4 Implications for the tsunami hazard

For the purpose of tsunami run-up estimates, Watts et al. (2003) model an underwater slide as a rigid body of simplified geometry moving along a straight incline with center of mass motion parallel to the incline and subject to external forces from added mass, gravity, and dissipation. Assuming a specific density \( \gamma = 1.85 \), a negligible Coulomb friction coefficient \( (Cm = 0) \), an added mass coefficient \( (Cm = 1) \), and a drag coefficient \( (Cd = 1) \). They come up with a set of simple equations for the description of the underwater slide motion, the tsunami amplitude and wavelength above the middle of the initial slide position, using the geometrical parameters \( d \) (water depth of mass centroid prior to sliding), \( b \) (length of slide body), \( T \) (thickness of slide body) and \( \theta \) (inclination of ramp) as input parameters (see Fig. 3). The relations are largely empirical and are used to perform coastal run-up models. We omit this ultimate step for future modeling and present values for the terminal velocity of the slide \( \mathbf{u}_t \), the tsunami amplitude \( \lambda_0 \) and tsunami wavelength \( \eta_{2d} \) using Eqs. (1c), (3a) and (3b) of Watts et al. (2003).

The results of the tsunami wave calculation for maximum, minimum and mean value input parameters are shown in Table 2b. We consider the calculated values for \( \mathbf{u}_t \), \( \lambda_0 \) and \( \eta_{2d} \) as upper limits, as the condition of a Coulomb friction coefficient close to 0 is met only when the slide is completely decoupled. Nonetheless, the initial tsunami wave amplitude in the range of 30 m (the minimum value according to Watts et al., 2003) would mean a serious threat if the distance to the coast of \( \sim 100 \) km is considered. The Sissano (Papua-New Guinea) tsunami that took the lives of 2000 people in 1998 was caused by a block slump of smaller size (5–10 km³) (Synolakis et al., 2002; Tappin et al., 2001).

An estimation of the size and run up of a tsunami potentially caused by the Reloca Slide requires more complex numerical modeling, which is a future goal. It is interesting, however, that the Reloca Slide area is not a unique in its steepness. There exist regions of similar or even steeper lower slope gradient between 33° S and 36° S, around 38° S, and from 39° S to 42° S. In contrast to the Reloca Site, there are no slide deposits observable in the trench at these places. This could imply that these steep slopes are not the products of submarine mass wasting events but of tectonic oversteepening and that they could be prone to future mass wasting.

### 5 Conclusions

Reloca Slide is the largest described slide along the Chilean continental margin between 32 and 46° S. It is a large volumetric mass (\( \sim 24 \) km³) of displaced continental slope material that maintained much of its cohesion during the slump and run out process from a steep and high headscarp. Both observations suggest a sudden displacement of the slide body that traveled vertically downward \( 2000 \) m and developed into a debris flow that traveled horizontally 18 km across the trench floor. This implies a that a localized but dimensionally large and high tsunami could have been generated that is only counteracted by a large water depth of \( \sim 4500 \) m of the source area. With respect to tsunami risk, it is concerning that the Reloca Slide is relatively young, most likely postdating the last glacial maximum, and that long stretches of the lowermost continental slope exhibit a similar steepness and appearance as that around the Reloca Slide. Next steps will be (1) the exact dating of the event, thereby (2) resolving the apparent contradiction between a thick sediment sequence in the sedimentary basin formed by the most prominent slide block and the supposed young age of the slide, (3) geotechnical tests on material retrieved from the slide scar and (4) provenance analysis of the debrite components.
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