A model-based assessment of the potential role of irrigated cropland for biogas production in Europe
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Abstract. For the European Union, the increasing use of renewable energy sources is an important instrument to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and to achieve greater independence from energy imports. Here, agriculture has the chance to become an important contributor by the cultivation of bioenergy crops. In this paper, the potential role of irrigated cropland for the cultivation of silage maize for biogas production is analyzed on the European level. A methodology is developed to identify suitable locations for maize cultivation and to evaluate their performance in respect of the amount of irrigation water and land needed for energy production. For this purpose, GIS analysis techniques are combined with simulation results from the process-based vegetation model LPJmL for maize yields and irrigation water requirements. The generated information can serve as input for the development of European-scale bio-energy policies and for further analysis of the water footprint and energy balance of bio-energy systems.

1 Introduction

Two important objectives of increasing the use of renewable energy sources in the European Union (EU) are the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and greater independence from fossil fuel imports. According to the Biomass Action Plan (EC, 2005), if the EU made full use of its agricultural potential, it would more than double its use of biomass for energy production by 2010 from 69 million tons of oil equivalent (MtOE) in 2003 to about 150 MtOE. In this case, the European agriculture has the chance to become a major contributor to bio-energy production with bio-energy cropping being a substantial source of income to farmers. Apart from these positive effects, agricultural management is already intensive in most European regions and a further increase of biomass production could cause additional pressures on natural resources such as biodiversity, soil and water (EEA, 2006). Furthermore, Searchinger et al. (2008) and Fargione et al. (2008) point out that the conversion of grassland or forest to cropland bears the risk of degradation of soil carbon pools, reducing the carbon-saving effect of bio-energy usage considerably. Taking into account these negative environmental effects and in order to avoid competition for land needed for food production, the recent assessments of bio-energy potentials assume that bio-energy crops will be grown on agricultural land that is taken out of production due to its low fertility or profitability (e.g. EEA, 2006; Hoogwijk et al., 2005).

In this paper, we take a different perspective and argue that two important prerequisites for the successful implementation of bio-energy cropping are (1) yield levels that are high enough for being competitive in energy markets and (2) efforts to minimize yield losses under climatic stress conditions during the vegetation period in order to sustain a high reliability of energy production. Based on these assumptions, we develop and apply a model-based methodology to conduct a spatially explicit assessment of the potential role of irrigated cropland for bio-energy production in Europe. Our analysis focuses on silage maize as substrate for biogas production. Options for the use of biogas include combined heat and power generation and fuel for the transportation sector. The major reason for concentrating on this bio-energy pathway is its high greenhouse gas reduction potential compared to alternative pathways, such as bio-diesel from rapeseed or bio-ethanol from corn maize (Thyø and Wenzel, 2007). Furthermore, biogas production is a flexible technology which is not bound to a specific type of substrate. This, for instance, allows using silage maize together with harvest residues from other types of crops and grasses.
2 Materials and methods

2.1 Workflow and input data

The assessment is carried out on a uniform grid with a cell size of 5 arc-min. Study area is the territory of the EU27 plus the non-member countries Croatia, Serbia and Albania. The analysis combines a Geographic Information System (GIS), methods from decision theory and the output from a process-based vegetation model to perform a spatially explicit analysis. Fig. 1 illustrates the workflow of the assessment.

The spatial input data is prepared using the GIS software package ArcGIS 9.2. Basis for the analysis is the CORINE 2000 (EEA, 2007) land-cover database for EU-member countries and the global crop map developed by Heistermann (2006) for the non-member countries. Both grid maps are combined to identify the cropland area within the study region. Then, the Global Map of Irrigated Area GMIA (Siebert et al., 2007) is used to derive for each 5 arc-min cropland cell the fraction of area equipped for irrigation. The analysis considers only cropland cells with an area equipped for irrigation greater zero. In the following, we refer to this set of cells as irrigated cropland. Maize yields and irrigation water requirements (iwr) are calculated by the LPJmL model (Sitch et al., 2003; Bondeau et al., 2007). The procedure for generating this data is described in greater detail in the next section. Model output is produced on a 30 arc-min grid. With GIS grid operations the model output is assigned to the 5 arc-min irrigated cropland cells located within each 30 arc-min cell.

Using this input data, a grid-based multi-criteria analysis is conducted to determine each irrigated cropland cell’s suitability for the cultivation of maize (Sect. 2.3). In the following step, the cells are ranked according to their suitability value. Based on this ranking, the area of irrigated cropland is subdivided into 10 groups (deciles), each including 10% of the total area. Finally, indicators are calculated to evaluate the performance of each group in respect of their requirements of irrigation water and area for energy production.

2.2 Simulation of crop yields and irrigation water requirements

The LPJmL model, a more comprehensive version of the LPJ-DGVM (Sitch et al., 2003), is a process-based model to simulate global vegetation dynamics and the associated carbon and water fluxes on 30 arc-min grid cells. Agricultural land-use productivity is simulated through the consideration of crop functional types (CFTs), either rain-fed or irrigated, representing the world’s most important annual field crops. Moreover, LPJmL’s crop module simulates sowing dates, crop phenology, crop growth and carbon allocation at a daily time step. All four processes respond to climate variables such as precipitation, temperature and insolation. The input data differentiates between nine soil texture classes as described by Sitch et al. (2003). Soil water storage is considered in two layers up to 1.5 m deep (0.5 m upper and 1.0 m lower layer). Water content is updated daily, taking into account snowmelt, percolation, rainfall, evapotranspiration, runoff and interception (Sitch et al., 2003; Gerten et al., 2004). A comprehensive evaluation of LPJmL’s performance for the simulation of crop yields, crop phenology and carbon-fluxes is presented in Bondeau et al. (2007).

For our assessment, the model is applied to calculate irrigated crop yields and irrigation water requirement surfaces for maize, i.e. simulation runs for that specific CFT are performed for all grid cells within our study region. The model is run for the period 1901–2003, preceded by a 1000-year spin-up phase in order to bring carbon pools into equilibrium. For this run, we use CRU-TS2.1 climate data on monthly mean temperature, precipitation, number of wet days and cloud cover (Österle et al., 2003; Mitchell and Jones, 2005). Annual atmospheric CO₂ concentration is taken from Keeling and Whorf (2005) and Sitch et al. (2003). Based on the model output, mean yields per ha and mean irrigation water requirements for the period 1991–2000 are calculated. In order to derive silage maize yields (use of the whole plant), we assume a corn fraction of 40% and a dry mass content in the whole plant of 30% (KTBL., 2005).

Irrigation water requirements for maize are determined from the soil water deficit below optimal growth of that CFT, i.e. the soil water needed in order to avoid any plant water stress. Plant water stress is calculated as the ratio between plant canopy water supply and atmospheric demand for transpiration.

2.3 Spatial analysis

First step of the spatial analysis is the selection of irrigated cropland cells with calculated silage maize yields higher than a threshold value above that cultivation becomes profitable. Since our assessment focuses on highly productive agricultural land, we assume this threshold at 100 dt dm irrigated silage maize yield per ha. For the irrigated cropland cells meeting this target, a multi-criteria analysis (MCA) is
conducted to determine their suitability for silage maize cultivation. The suitability value $\Psi_k$ of grid cell $k$ is expressed by Eq. (1).

$$\Psi_k = \frac{f(myield_k) + g(iwr_k)}{2}$$ (1)

Two equally important factors contribute to the cell’s suitability: irrigated silage maize yield ($myield$) and irrigation water requirements ($iwr$).

$$f(myield_k) = \left( \frac{myield_k - \min myield}{\max myield - \min myield} \right)$$ (2)

$$g(iwr_k) = 1 - \left( \frac{iwr_k - \min iwr}{\max iwr - \min iwr} \right)$$ (3)

Both factors are standardized by the value functions $f$ and $g$, which have a co-domain from 0 to 1 (Geneletti et al., 2005). For crop yield, a positive linear function is used (Eq. 2), leading to higher factor values for higher yields, while for $iwr$ a negative linear relationship is assumed, meaning that higher irrigation water requirements have an increasingly negative influence on the cell’s suitability value (Eq. 3). The functions are scaled between the maximum and minimum factor values found in the set of the selected irrigated cropland cells. In case of maize yield the minimum factor value is given by the lower threshold of 100 dt dm. The analysis was carried out with the ArcGIS software using Map Algebra operations.

2.4 Performance indicators

The total production of silage maize in metric tons and the irrigation water requirements in m$^3$ for each irrigated cropland grid cell are determined by multiplying the simulated yield per ha and irrigation water requirements with the extent of area equipped for irrigation located there. Regarding the amount of energy that can be produced from silage maize, we assume that 1 t fresh mass (=0.3 t dm) yields 200 m$^3$ of biogas with a methane content of 52% and a lower heating value of 21.6 MJ/m$^3$ (FNR, 2008).

Then, the suitable irrigated cropland area is subdivided into ten groups, each representing a decile of the total area sum. Group 1 contains the cells with the most suitable 10% of irrigated cropland area on them while group 10 contains the cells with the 10% of irrigated cropland area having the lowest suitability values. For each group, first its Energy productivity $E$ [PJ] is determined, which is defined as the sum of energy that can be produced on the irrigated area within that group. Based on this information for each group the efficiency of using irrigation water and land area for the production of bio-energy is evaluated.

For this purpose, we have developed two performance indicators (Eq. 4): (1) Water Intensity $WI$ [km$^3$/PJ] specifies the mean volume of irrigation water consumed per energy unit and (2) Area Intensity $AI$ [km$^2$/PJ] specifies the mean area of land needed per energy unit.

$$WI = \frac{iwr}{E}$$ [km$^3$/PJ] (4)

$$AI = \frac{Area}{E}$$ [km$^2$/PJ]

3 Results

3.1 Location of suitable areas to grow silage maize

The decile grouping is based on the combined evaluation of silage maize yield and irrigation water requirements. Altogether 128 000 km$^2$ of irrigated cropland located on grid cells with silage maize yields higher than the threshold of 100 dt dm were identified within the study region. The geographical distribution of the suitable cells within the ten groups is shown in Fig. 2. Most of the best evaluated area is located in France, Portugal, the Po-Valley in Italy and the Balkan countries Croatia, Hungary and Serbia. In the majority of Spain and the southern parts of Italy, we find relatively bad growing conditions, together with high irrigation water requirements. In the Benelux countries, Germany and Poland, yield levels are lower compared to the best evaluated cells but go together with relatively low irrigation water requirements. Also a West-East gradient with decreasing suitability values can be identified. High silage maize yield levels but also high irrigation water requirements are found in Romania and Bulgaria.

3.2 Calculated yields and irrigation water requirements

Comparing the characteristics of the 10 groups, we find a decline of the mean silage maize yield value ($myield$) from 154 dt in group 1 (containing the most suitable cells) down...
to 106 dt in the group 10 (containing the least suitable cells). Maximum calculated silage maize yields are 165 dt dm while the lower limit is defined by the yield threshold of 100 dt dm. Irrigated cropland cells with the highest yields (here defined as values greater than the mean yield of group 1) are located at the Atlantic coast of north-western Portugal and Spain, in the region near Bordeaux in France, the Po-V alley in Italy as well as in Croatia, Romania and Bulgaria. The lowest maize yields within the scope of our analysis are found in the southern parts of Europe, among them in Spain and Greece, and in the north-eastern parts of Germany and Poland.

The medium values for irrigation water requirements (iwr) show only small differences between the first 6 groups (ranging from 541 mm to 550 mm). Then, there is a steep incline to 620 mm in group 7, increasing up to more than 829 mm in group 10. The highest calculated iwr is 1123 mm for grid cells located in the southern parts of Spain and Portugal, while the lowest iwr is 94 mm for grid cells in Poland. In general, regions with high irrigation water requirements are located in the central and southern parts of Spain, Portugal and Italy as well as in the eastern parts of Hungary, Romania and Bulgaria. The areas with the lowest irrigation water requirements can be found in the central part of France, in Germany and in Poland.

### 3.3 Plausibility of calculated yields and irrigation water requirements

Maize yields calculated by LPJmL have been tested with good results against FAO census data for selected European countries (Bondeau et al., 2007). Nevertheless, the timeframe of that analysis was 1991–1995, meaning that yield improvements since then are not captured by the tested model version. Therefore, it can be assumed that current yields are underestimated. It also has to be noted that the testing procedure referred to corn maize. Our estimates of silage maize yields are solely based on literature data on harvest index and dry mass content. In Germany, the maximum calculated silage maize yield is 13.5 t dm/ha, equalling 45 t/ha of fresh biomass. This data compares well to data from FNR (2008) and Thyø and Wenzel (2007) who also assume 45 t of fresh mass yield in their balance calculations.

The hydrological processes within LPJmL including evapotranspiration have extensively been tested and validated by Gerten et al. (2004). Furthermore, Rost et al. (2008) successfully applied the model to analyse the global consumption of irrigation water. Total irrigation water requirements in our calculations amount to 88.52 km$^3$, which is within the range of the values presented in Rost et al. (2008) for Europe (~40–110 km$^3$). Although these numbers are difficult to compare as our study calculates water requirements of maize which may vary from the requirements of the crops that are actually grown on the irrigated areas, the simulation results are in a reasonable order of magnitude.

### 3.4 Indicator results

The results for the indicators Water Intensity (WI) and Area Intensity (AI) are pictured in Fig. 3. In case that all the irrigated cropland would be used simultaneously for growing silage maize, biogas with an energy content of 2136 PJ could be produced.

The total energy productivity $E$ within each of the 10 groups is declining from 241.6 PJ in group 1 to 167.5 PJ in group 10. This trend goes along with decreasing medium silage maize yields and increasing irrigation water requirements. As a result, Water Intensity (WI) increases from 0.03 km$^3$/PJ in group 1, to 0.065 km$^3$/PJ in group 10, i.e. the amount of irrigation water that is needed to produce one PJ of energy more than doubles in group 10 compared to group 1. Also the indicator Area Intensity (AI) is increasing from 53 km$^2$/PJ in group 1 to 76.78 km$^2$/PJ in group 10, meaning that more cropland area is needed to produce the same amount of energy in the groups with lower suitability values.

### 4 Discussion and conclusions

The study provides the first assessment of the potentials of European irrigated cropland for the production of biogas as one important bio-energy option. Within a GIS environment the output of a process-based vegetation model was
combined with other data sources to conduct a spatially explicit analysis. We decided to use the LPJmL model because it is designed for large-scale grid-based applications and its capabilities to simulate crop yields and crop water balance are well tested (Bondeau et al., 2007; Rost et al., 2008). Furthermore its process-based structure allows simulating the effects of changing climate conditions in future studies.

Major limitations of the proposed methodology are the differences of the spatial resolution of the input data and the presently realized parameterization and application procedure of the vegetation model. While the outcome of the LPJmL simulations is provided on a 30 arc-min grid, the spatial analysis is conducted on a finer 5 arc-min scale level. Thus, the application of the model on smaller grid cells would greatly enhance the spatial variability of the study results. Moreover, the silage maize yields are derived from corn maize yields. Improvements of the study design should therefore also include the parameterization of a new crop functional type for silage maize. Furthermore, model runs were summarized for the time period 1991–2000. The effect of inter-annual variability of climate variables such as temperature and precipitation on irrigation water requirements and crop yields (Alcamo et al., 2007) is not taken into account. Here, additional testing of the model’s capability to capture such dynamics will be necessary.

The findings of our analysis indicate that the existing area equipped for irrigation can contribute significantly to the production of biogas. Assuming that silage maize is part of a 3-year crop rotation, the amount of bio-energy that can be produced on the total analysed area amounts to 712 PJ per year, which is about 11% of the EU target for the share of renewable energy sources at the total primary energy consumption in the year 2010 (EC, 2005). The grouped indicator results also reveal large spatial differences in the performance of silage maize production in respect of irrigation water use and area requirements.

We regard both aspects that are covered by our indicators as central elements for the planning of sustainable bio-energy systems. On the one hand, higher irrigation water requirements can directly affect local water resources as well as the greenhouse gas balance of bio-energy crops by using additional energy for pumping and water distribution. On the other hand, land requirements for energy cropping are in competition with crop cultivation for food production. Therefore, the presented methodology can become a tool to help stimulating policy discussion about strategies for the use also of irrigated land for bio-energy cropping and ultimately to identify suitable regions in Europe where biogas development should be promoted. In this context, the results can serve as input to further analysis of the water footprint (Gerbens-Leenens et al., 2008) and the energy balance of bio-energy systems within comprehensive life-cycle analysis. Since we use global data sets and apply a global vegetation model which is capable to simulate a multitude of different crop types, the presented type of assessment can be transferred to other world regions and expanded to other crop types that are relevant for bio-energy production.

Another simplification of our current model approach is the assumption that irrigation water requirements can always be met, leading to optimal crop growth. In reality, limited or decreasing water availability can result in a lower supply of irrigation water and consequently lower crop yields. Therefore, our future work will expand the study design to a more integrated scenario analysis, considering climate change and its effects on water availability as well as the competition for water resources between agriculture, households and industry. The refinement of the suitability assessment will introduce a spatial link to infrastructural aspects, including transportation logistics and the location of biogas plants. Furthermore, a more sophisticated economic approach to determine the yield threshold and the economically feasible amount of applied irrigation water will be integrated.
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